

A DOMAIN DECOMPOSITION ANALYSIS FOR A TWO-SCALE LINEAR TRANSPORT PROBLEM

FRANÇOIS GOLSE, SHI JIN, AND C. DAVID LEVERMORE

ABSTRACT. We present a domain decomposition theory on an interface problem for the linear transport equation between a diffusive and a non-diffusive region. To leading order, i.e. up to an error of the order of the mean free path in the diffusive region, the solution in the non-diffusive region is independent of the density in the diffusion region. However, the diffusive and the non-diffusive regions are coupled at the interface at the next order of approximation. In particular, our algorithm avoids iterating the diffusion and transport solutions as is done in most other methods — see for example Bal-Maday [Math. Modelling and Numer. Anal. to appear]. Our analysis is based instead on an accurate description of the boundary layer at the interface matching the phase-space density of particles leaving the non-diffusion region to the bulk density that solves the diffusive equation.

1. THE INTERFACE PROBLEM

Consider the steady, linear transport equation with isotropic scattering and slab geometry:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Psi(x, \mu) + \sigma(x) \Psi(x, \mu) &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\Psi}(x), \\ \text{where } \bar{\Psi}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \Psi(x, \mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The phase space density Ψ is defined so that $\Psi(x, \mu) \frac{1}{2} d\mu dx$ is the number of particles (e.g. neutrons) located inside an interval of width dx centered at x , moving in a direction whose angle θ with the x axis is such that $\mu = \cos \theta$ belongs to an interval of width $d\mu$ centered at μ .

The function $\sigma(x) > 0$ is the scattering cross-section at position x , while $c(x) > 0$ is the average number of emitted particles per collision at x . Below we restrict our attention to the case where $0 < c(x) \leq 1$. When $c = 1$ the material is purely scattering; when $c < 1$ there exist absorbing collisions.

Date: August 10, 2002.

The transport equation (1.1) is posed for $x \in (x_L, x_R)$ and $\mu \in [-1, 1]$, supplemented with boundary conditions at x_L and x_R . Perhaps the simplest example of boundary conditions for (1.1) consists in prescribing the phase-space density of particles entering the domain (x_L, x_R) at x_L and x_R :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \text{for } \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \Psi(x_R, -\mu) &= F_R(\mu), & \text{for } \mu \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

More general boundary conditions can also be analyzed by the methods of the present paper. For more details on the physical meaning of (1.1)-(1.2), the interested reader is referred to chapter XXI of [7].

Our purpose is to analyze the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) in the case where the order of magnitude of the scattering cross-section σ varies considerably over the domain (x_L, x_R) . Such situations are frequently encountered in most applications of transport theory where the background medium is often made of (very) different materials.

Specifically, we consider the case of two different materials with an interface located at $x_M \in (x_L, x_R)$. At x_M , the scattering cross-section σ and emission rate $c(x)$ are assumed to be discontinuous; they are given as follows in terms of a small parameter ϵ :

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= 1, & \text{and} & & 0 < c(x) < 1, & \text{for } x \in (x_L, x_M), \\ \sigma(x) &= \epsilon^{-1}, & \text{and} & & c(x) = 1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma(x), & \text{for } x \in (x_M, x_R). \end{aligned}$$

It will be assumed below that

$$(1.4) \quad 0 < \gamma_* \leq \gamma(x) \leq \gamma^*, \quad \text{for each } x \in (x_M, x_R)$$

for some constants γ_* and γ^* ; we shall also restrict our attention to ϵ 's such that

$$(1.5) \quad 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon^*, \quad \text{where } \epsilon^* < 1/\gamma^*.$$

The small parameter ϵ is the ratio of the mean free path (the average distance a particle travels between two consecutive collisions with the background medium) to the size of the domain $x_R - x_M$. Changing the space variable x in (1.1) into

$$\begin{aligned} x_M - \int_x^{x_M} \sigma(z) dz & \quad \text{for } x \in (x_L, x_M) \\ \text{and } x_M + \int_{x_M}^x \sigma(z) dz & \quad \text{for } x \in (x_M, x_R) \end{aligned}$$

one sees that there is no loss of generality in assuming σ to be piecewise constant as in (1.3). (In the context of radiative transfer, the new




FIGURE 1. The interface problem

space variables so defined are referred to as the “optical thickness”: see chapter I, §7 in [6].)

Since the mean-free path is small in the region (x_M, x_R) , we expect that the solution Ψ_ϵ is isotropic (i.e. a function of x alone) to leading order and governed by the diffusion approximation of (1.1): see section 4.1 below. Hence the domain (x_M, x_R) is referred to as “the diffusion region”. The smallness of the mean free path in (x_M, x_R) makes it very costly to solve the transport equation accurately there. Solving the diffusion equation on the other hand is much more efficient. By contrast, in the domain (x_L, x_M) one must retain the μ dependence in the solution Ψ_ϵ and solve the transport equation for Ψ_ϵ in that domain, which will be therefore referred to as “the transport region”.

Domain decomposition methods matching kinetic and hydrodynamic or diffusion models have received a lot of attention in the past 15 years. Some of the ideas in the present work can be found in [10]; other methods have been proposed in [1], [4], [8], [9], [13], [16], [17], [18], [19], [21], [22], [23].

In the notations of Figure 1, solving the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with coefficients given by (1.3) reduces to finding good approximations of the angle distributions of particles crossing the interface in the direction of

the transport domain — i.e. f_- — and in the direction of the diffusive domain — i.e. f_+ . In fact, the solution in the diffusive region depends only on some appropriate angle average of f_+ , so that the most critical task is to evaluate f_- accurately.

In most of the existing domain decomposition methods for this problem — for instance in [1], [23] — this is done by an iteration procedure in which the diffusion and the transport equation are solved alternately until convergence of the successive approximants to f_- and f_+ is reached.

The method described in this paper is completely different. Instead, we propose a boundary condition at x_M on the transport side which mimics the reflection of particles on an infinitely thick, purely scattering domain. This reflection condition is based on almost explicit computations for the steady transport equation in a half-space filled with a purely scattering material. These computations go back to the work of Wiener and Hopf [24] on the Milne-Schwarzschild problem in astrophysics. This material is recalled in section 2. Then, classical results on the diffusion approximation of the transport equation show that, by solving the transport equation in the transport region (x_L, x_M) with this reflection condition at x_M , one approximates the restriction to the transport domain of the global solution to within $O(\epsilon)$. Thus, using this reflection condition yields the correct transport and diffusion solutions in one step up to an $O(\epsilon)$ error and in two steps up to an $O(\epsilon^2)$ error. In particular, this method avoids iterating alternately on the diffusion and transport solutions until convergence of the fluxes at the interface is reached.

This simple model can be seen as the prototype in a series of analogous problems, such as, for instance, radiative transfer in multi-material media, gas (or plasma) dynamics in cases involving both a kinetic and a hydrodynamic description etc... We hope that a complete and rigorous treatment of this simple case can give valuable insight on some of the issues listed above.

2. HALF-SPACE PROBLEMS, CHANDRASEKHAR'S H -FUNCTION, REFLECTION ON A SEMI-INFINITE, PURELY SCATTERING MEDIUM

In this section, we quickly review the mathematical objects involved in the reflection condition at the interface between the transport and the diffusion domains.

Consider the half-space transport problem

$$(2.1) \quad \mu \partial_z \Gamma + \Gamma - \bar{\Gamma} = 0, \quad z > 0, \quad 0 < |\mu| < 1,$$

with boundary condition

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(0, \mu) = G(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

The theory of existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior of this half-space transport problem follows from the work of Wiener and Hopf [24]. It is summarized in the next lemma which can be proved by energy (PDE) methods — this was done originally in [2], with a few technical improvements in [12] (see pp. 1359–1368 there).

Lemma 2.1. *For each $G \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ there is a unique solution Γ of the half-space transport equation (2.1) in $L^\infty([0, +\infty); L^2([-1, 1], |\mu| d\mu))$.*

This solution satisfies the following properties:

- if $G \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, then $\Gamma \in L^\infty([0, +\infty) \times [-1, 1])$ and one has

$$(2.3) \quad \|\Gamma\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|G\|_{L^\infty};$$

- it has zero flux

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \mu \Gamma(z, \mu) d\mu = 0;$$

- there exists a constant Γ_∞ such that, for each $\gamma \in [0, 1)$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(z, \cdot) - \Gamma_\infty\|_{L^2(|\mu| d\mu)} &= O(e^{-\gamma z}), \quad \text{and} \\ \|\Gamma(z, \cdot) - \Gamma_\infty\|_{L^\infty} &= O(e^{-\gamma z}), \quad \text{if } G \in L^\infty([0, 1]) \end{aligned}$$

as $z \rightarrow +\infty$.

Notice that $(z, \mu) \mapsto z - \mu$ is a solution of (2.1); hence, by Lemma 2.1, the half-space problem (2.1)-(2.2) with $G \equiv 0$ has a unique solution Γ_* such that $(z, \mu) \mapsto \Gamma_*(z, \mu) - z$ belongs to $L^\infty([0, +\infty) \times [-1, 1])$. (In other words, $\Gamma_* = \Gamma_*^0 + z - \mu$ where Γ_*^0 is the unique bounded solution of (2.1)-(2.2) with $G(\mu) \equiv \mu$.)

Definition 2.1. *The Chandrasekhar H -function is defined as*

$$H(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma_*(0, -\mu), \quad \mu \in (0, 1).$$

In particular¹, $H \in L^\infty([0, 1])$.

By Lemma 2.1, the map $G \mapsto \Gamma_\infty$ defines a linear functional on $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$; likewise, $\Gamma(0, -\mu) = (\mathcal{R}G)(\mu)$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$ where \mathcal{R} is a bounded operator on $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$. The striking fact in the theory of the half-space problem (2.1) is that both this linear functional and the operator \mathcal{R} have remarkably simple expressions in terms of the Chandrasekhar H -function.

¹One also knows that $\mu \mapsto \mu H(\mu)$ is in $W^{1,1}([0, 1])$ — see [12], Theorem C.3 — but this is not needed below.

Lemma 2.2. *For each $G \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ the unique solution Γ of the half-space transport equation (2.1) in $L^\infty([0, +\infty); L^2([-1, 1], |\mu| d\mu))$ satisfies the following properties:*

- as $z \rightarrow +\infty$, $\Gamma(z, \cdot)$ converges in $L^2([-1, 1], |\mu| d\mu)$ to

$$\Gamma_\infty = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 G(\mu) H(\mu) \mu d\mu;$$

- for a.e. $\mu \in (0, 1]$, the density of particles emerging from the half-space is

$$\Gamma(0, -\mu) = (\mathcal{R}G)(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} H(\mu) \int_0^1 G(\mu') \frac{H(\mu')}{\mu + \mu'} \mu' d\mu'.$$

In particular, if $G \equiv 1$, the uniqueness part of Lemma 2.1 shows that the solution of (2.1)-(2.2) is $\Gamma \equiv 1$. Hence $\mathcal{R}1 = 1$, and therefore the H function satisfies the following nonlinear integral equation:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{H(\mu)} = \int_0^1 \frac{H(\mu')}{2(\mu + \mu')} \mu' d\mu'.$$

This integral equation can be solved iteratively by a relaxation method in order to tabulate H .

This presentation of Chandrasekhar's function H and of the reflection operator \mathcal{R} goes back to [10] pp. 309–311 (in the context of the Boltzmann equation) and is given in detail in [11] pp. 222–224. Another presentation, based on stochastic processes, can be found in [3].

3. THE COUPLING ALGORITHMS

In this section we give a detailed description of the coupling algorithms for the steady transport problem (1.1) with coefficients (1.3).

3.1. Order $O(\epsilon)$ coupling. The prescription for a coupling algorithm that approximates the solution of the interface problem to within $O(\epsilon)$ is as follows.

First solve the steady transport problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Phi_0 + \Phi_0 - c \bar{\Phi}_0 &= 0, & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Phi_0(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \Phi_0(x_M, -\mu) &= \mathcal{R}(\Phi_0(x_M, \cdot))|_{(0,1]}(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R} is the operator defined in Lemma 2.2.

That the problem (3.1) has a unique solution is a more or less classical result in the theory of the transport equation: see Appendix A below.

Once this is done and the density Φ_0 has been computed, solve the diffusion problem

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3}\partial_{xx}\Theta_0 + \gamma\Theta_0 &= 0, \quad x \in (x_M, x_R), \\ \Theta_0(x_M) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) \Phi_0(x_M, \mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_0(x_R) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where H is Chandrasekhar's function of defined in 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ψ_ϵ be the solution of the original two-scale steady transport problem (1.1)-(1.2) with coefficients as in (1.3), and define Ψ^0 as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^0(x, \mu) &= \Phi_0(x, \mu) \quad \text{for } (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Psi^0(x, \mu) &= \Theta_0(x) \quad \text{for } (x, \mu) \in (x_M, x_R) \times [-1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Psi^0\|_{L^1([x_L, x_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$$

and, for each $x'_M, x'_R \in (x_M, x_R)$ such that $x'_M < x'_R$, one has

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Psi^0\|_{L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])} + \|\Psi_\epsilon - \Psi^0\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Notice that the function Ψ^0 is in general discontinuous in x at x_M while Ψ_ϵ is continuous in x for a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 1]$ and each $\epsilon > 0$. Hence the approximation above cannot hold in the L^∞ norm. This discontinuity is the manifestation at the macroscopic scale of the boundary layer with thickness of order $O(\epsilon)$ at the interface inside the diffusive region.

The great advantage of this procedure is that the transport region and the diffusive region are completely decoupled at this order of approximation. That may seem somewhat paradoxical: indeed, suppose that $F_L \equiv 0$. According to the prescription above, $\Psi^0 \equiv 0$ in the transport region, independently of whether $F_R \equiv 0$ or not. However, the total flux of particles inside the diffusion region is approximated by $-\epsilon \frac{1}{3} \partial_x \Theta_0$ and gives in general a non trivial contribution of order $O(\epsilon)$ at the interface from the diffusion side. Based on this simple observation, we anticipate that the treatments of the transport and diffusion regions must be coupled somehow if one wants to get the next order of accuracy $O(\epsilon^2)$.

3.2. Order $O(\epsilon^2)$ coupling. Once the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) has been computed to within $O(\epsilon)$ by the prescription above, one determines the order $O(\epsilon)$ correction to the steady transport problem (3.1) by solving

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Phi_1 + \Phi_1 - c \bar{\Phi}_1 &= 0, & (x, \mu) &\in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Phi_1(x_L, \mu) &= 0, & \mu &\in (0, 1], \\ \Phi_1(x_M, -\mu) &= \mathcal{R}(\Phi_1(x_M, \cdot)|_{(0,1]})(\mu) \\ &\quad - (\lambda + \mu) \partial_x \Theta_0(x_M), & \mu &\in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Having determined Φ_1 we next compute the diffusion approximation of the solution Ψ_ϵ as follows:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3} \partial_{xx} \Theta_\epsilon + \gamma \Theta_\epsilon &= 0, & x &\in (x_M, x_R), \\ \Theta_\epsilon(x_M) - \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \Theta_\epsilon(x_M) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) (\Phi_0 + \epsilon \Phi_1)(x_M, \mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_\epsilon(x_R) + \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \Theta_\epsilon(x_R) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Once Φ_0 , Φ_1 and Θ_ϵ are determined, the approximation of the solution of (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) to within $O(\epsilon^2)$ is expressed as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ψ_ϵ be the solution of the original two-scale steady transport problem (1.1)-(1.2) with coefficients as in (1.3). Define Ψ_ϵ^1 as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\epsilon^1(x, \mu) &= (\Phi_0 + \epsilon \Phi_1)(x, \mu) & \text{for } (x, \mu) &\in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Psi_\epsilon^1(x, \mu) &= \Theta_\epsilon(x) - \epsilon \mu \partial_x \Theta_\epsilon(x) & \text{for } (x, \mu) &\in (x_M, x_R) \times [-1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $x'_M, x'_R \in (x_M, x_R)$ such that $x'_M < x'_R$, one has

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Psi_\epsilon^1\|_{L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])} + \|\Psi_\epsilon - \Psi_\epsilon^1\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon^2)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The following observation is crucial in understanding the coupling algorithms discussed here: a perturbation of order $O(1)$ (resp. of order $O(\epsilon)$) in the solution of the diffusion equation on (x_M, x_R) has an effect of order $O(\epsilon)$ (resp. $O(\epsilon^2)$) on the solution of the transport equation over (x_L, x_M) .

In any case, both algorithms presented in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 lead to approximations of the solution Ψ_ϵ of the original two-scale steady transport problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) to within $O(\epsilon)$ and $O(\epsilon^2)$ respectively in a finite number of steps (respectively one and two steps). By using this algorithms, one avoids iterating on the transport and

diffusion solutions as is done in most other domain decomposition algorithms (e.g. in [1], [23]).

4. ERROR ESTIMATE FOR
THE DOMAIN DECOMPOSITION ALGORITHM:
THE STEADY PROBLEM

Our proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 is based on a precise error estimate for the diffusion approximation of the transport equation with boundary layer terms and on a few elementary stability results for the transport equation itself. This preliminary material is discussed in the next few subsections.

4.1. The diffusion approximation. In the diffusive region $x \in [x_M, x_R]$, the scaled transport equation is

$$(4.1) \quad \mu \partial_x \Psi_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \Psi_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma) \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon, \quad x_M < x < x_R, \quad -1 < \mu < 1.$$

It is supplemented with the boundary conditions

$$(4.2) \quad \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \mu) = F_M(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < 1,$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi_\epsilon(x_R, -\mu) = F_R(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

The main difficulty in the domain decomposition algorithm is that the boundary condition F_M is not explicitly known, but eventually determined by the coupling of the transport and diffusion domains.

Assuming however that F_M is known, the diffusion approximation of the scaled transport equation (4.1)-(4.2)-(4.3) can be formulated as follows.

Let Θ_ϵ be the solution of the diffusion equation

$$(4.4) \quad -\frac{1}{3} \partial_{xx} \Theta_\epsilon + \gamma \Theta_\epsilon = 0, \quad x_M < x < x_R;$$

with Robin boundary conditions

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_\epsilon - \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \Theta_\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_M} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_M(\mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_\epsilon + \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \Theta_\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_R} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where H is the Chandrasekhar function (see Definition 2.1) and with λ given by

$$\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int \mu^2 H(\mu) d\mu.$$

The parameter λ is related to the so-called ‘‘extrapolated endpoints’’ $x_M - \epsilon\lambda$ and $x_R + \epsilon\lambda$; the Robin boundary conditions (4.5) can be viewed as Taylor expansions at order $O(\epsilon^2)$ of the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the extrapolated endpoints.

In particular, when $\epsilon = 0$, the problem (4.4)-(4.5) reduces to the Dirichlet problem

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3}\partial_{xx}\Theta_0 + \gamma\Theta_0 &= 0, \quad x_M < x < x_R; \\ \Theta_0(x_M) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_M(\mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_0(x_R) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Let Γ_ϵ^M be the solution of

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu\partial_z\Gamma_\epsilon^M + \Gamma_\epsilon^M - \bar{\Gamma}_\epsilon^M &= 0, \quad z > 0, \quad -1 < \mu < 1, \\ \Gamma_\epsilon^M(0, \mu) &= F_M(\mu) - \Theta_\epsilon(x_M) + \epsilon\mu\partial_x\Theta_\epsilon(x_M), \quad 0 < \mu < 1, \end{aligned}$$

in $L^\infty([0, +\infty); L^2([-1, 1], |\mu|d\mu))$ (whose existence and uniqueness is guaranteed by Lemma 2.1) while Γ_ϵ^R is similarly defined with the boundary data

$$(4.8) \quad \Gamma_\epsilon^R(0, \mu) = F_R(\mu) - \Theta_\epsilon(x_R) - \epsilon\mu\partial_x\Theta_\epsilon(x_R), \quad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

The diffusion approximation consists then in replacing the solution Ψ_ϵ of the transport problem (4.1)-(4.2)-(4.3) by the truncated multi-scale expansion

- at order $O(\epsilon)$:

$$(4.9) \quad \Phi_\epsilon^0(x, \mu) = \Theta_0(x) + \Gamma_0^M\left(\frac{x - x_M}{\epsilon}, \mu\right) + \Gamma_0^R\left(\frac{x_R - x}{\epsilon}, \mu\right).$$

- at order $O(\epsilon^2)$:

$$(4.10) \quad \Phi_\epsilon^1(x, \mu) = \Theta_\epsilon(x) - \epsilon\mu\partial_x\Theta_\epsilon(x) + \Gamma_\epsilon^M\left(\frac{x - x_M}{\epsilon}, \mu\right) + \Gamma_\epsilon^R\left(\frac{x_R - x}{\epsilon}, \mu\right).$$

With these notations, the diffusion approximation for the transfer equation (4.1)-(4.2)-(4.3) can be stated as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *The error between the solution Ψ_ϵ of the transfer problem and the truncated expansion Φ_ϵ satisfies*

- At order $O(\epsilon)$:

$$(4.11) \quad \|\Psi_\epsilon - \Phi_\epsilon^0\|_{L^\infty([x_M, x_R] \times [-1, 1])} \leq C_0\epsilon;$$

in addition

$$(4.12) \quad \sup_{\mu \in (0,1)} \left| \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[0,1]})(\mu) \right| \leq C_0 \epsilon.$$

• At order $O(\epsilon^2)$:

$$(4.13) \quad \left\| \Psi_\epsilon - \Phi_\epsilon^1 \right\|_{L^\infty([x_M, x_R] \times [-1,1])} \leq C_1 \epsilon^2;$$

in addition

$$(4.14) \quad \sup_{\mu \in (0,1)} \left| \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[0,1]})(\mu) + \epsilon(\lambda + \mu) \partial_x \Theta_0(x_M) \right| \leq C_1 \epsilon^2.$$

In (4.12) and (4.14), \mathcal{R} is the operator defined in Lemma 2.2.

This Proposition is the main result in [2]; it is phrased here essentially in the notations of Theorem 2.2 in [12]. An equivalent formulation, based on stochastic processes, can be found in [3].

4.2. Stability results. The first stability result needed in the error estimate for the coupling algorithm bears on the transport equation itself.

Let $\sigma \equiv \sigma(x)$ satisfy $0 < \sigma_* < \sigma(x) \leq \sigma^*$ and $c \equiv c(x)$ satisfy $0 \leq c(x) \leq c^* < 1$ for some constants σ_* , σ^* and c^* and for each $x \in [x_L, x_M]$. Consider the transport equation

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x f + \sigma(f - c\bar{f}) &= 0, & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1]; \\ f(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1]; \\ f(x_M, -\mu) &= F_M(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We next define two operators on $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$, denoted by \mathcal{T}_L and \mathcal{T}_M , in the following manner:

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_L F_L)(\mu) &= f(x_M, \mu) \text{ for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1] \text{ when } F_M = 0, \\ (\mathcal{T}_M F_M)(\mu) &= f(x_M, \mu) \text{ for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1] \text{ when } F_L = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By linearity of the problem (4.15), in the general case where neither F_L nor F_M is identically 0,

$$f(x_M, \mu) = (\mathcal{T}_L F_L)(\mu) + (\mathcal{T}_M F_M)(\mu), \quad \text{for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1].$$

Lemma 4.1. *The operators \mathcal{T}_L and \mathcal{T}_M are bounded on $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$; further*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} \leq 1, \quad \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} < 1.$$

Proof. We start with the basic energy estimate obtained by multiplying (4.15) by f and integrating over $[x_L, x_R] \times [-1, 1]$:

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma(1-c)f^2 dx d\mu + \int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma c(f - \bar{f})^2 dx d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu f(x_L, -\mu)^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu f(x_M, \mu)^2 d\mu \\ & \quad = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu F_L(\mu)^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu F_M(\mu)^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this successively to $F_M \equiv 0$ and $F_L \equiv 0$ leads to

$$(4.18) \quad \|\mathcal{T}_L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} \leq 1 \text{ and then } \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} \leq 1.$$

It remains to prove that the second inequality is strict. Take $F_L \equiv 0$, and pick for F_M a sequence $\phi_n \equiv \phi_n(\mu)$. Assume that ϕ_n satisfies

$$(4.19) \quad \|\phi_n\|_{L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu)} = 1 \text{ and } \|\mathcal{T}_M \phi_n\|_{L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu)} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Calling f_n the corresponding solution of the transport equation (4.15) and applying the energy estimate (4.17) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma(1-c)f_n^2 dx d\mu + \int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma c(f_n - \bar{f}_n)^2 dx d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu f_n(x_L, -\mu)^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Because $\sigma(x) \geq \sigma_* > 0$ and $c(x) \leq c^* < 1$, this implies that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. By using the transport equation in (4.15), $\mu \partial_x f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence the sequence of boundary values satisfies $(\mathcal{T}_M \phi_n)(\mu) = f_n(x_M, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore our initial assumption (4.19) is wrong, which implies that the second inequality in (4.18) is strict. \square

The second stability result that we need bears on the operator \mathcal{R} defined in Lemma 2.2 and can be seen as a stability result for the transport equation, however inside the diffusive region.

Lemma 4.2. *The operator \mathcal{R} defined in Lemma 2.2 is a contraction mapping on the space $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$:*

$$\|\mathcal{R}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} = 1.$$

Proof. The energy estimate analogous to (4.17) for the problem (2.1) with the boundary condition (2.2) is obtained by multiplying equation

(2.1) by Γ , on account of (2.2) and of the asymptotic behavior as $z \rightarrow +\infty$ in Lemma 2.1. One finds that

$$(4.20) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-1}^1 (\Gamma - \bar{\Gamma})^2 dz d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu \Gamma(x_L, -\mu)^2 d\mu \\ = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu G(\mu)^2 d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu \Gamma(x_L, -\mu)^2 d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu G(\mu)^2 d\mu,$$

which means that $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} \leq 1$. This inequality is actually an equality since $\mathcal{R}1 = 1$. \square

4.3. Continuity across the interface. The last ingredient in our proof is the following continuity statement.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\sigma \equiv \sigma(x)$ satisfy $0 < \sigma_* \leq \sigma(x) \leq \sigma^*$ and $c \equiv c(x)$ satisfy $0 \leq c(x) \leq c_* < 1$ for some constants σ_* , σ^* and c_* and for each $x \in [x_L, x_R]$. Consider the transport equation*

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x f + \sigma(f - \bar{c}\bar{f}) &= 0, & (x, \mu) &\in (x_L, x_R) \times [-1, 1]; \\ f(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1]; \\ f(x_R, -\mu) &= F_R(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where F_L and $F_M \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$. Then, for a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 1]$, the function $x \mapsto f(x, \mu)$ is continuous.

Proof. The energy estimate (4.17) implies the existence of a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma(1-c)f^2 dx d\mu \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{x_L}^{x_M} \int_{-1}^1 \sigma c(f - \bar{f})^2 dx d\mu \leq C.$$

These inequalities and the transport equation (4.21) imply that

$$\mu \partial_x f = -\sigma c(f - \bar{f}) - \sigma(1-c)f \in L^2([x_L, x_R] \times [-1, 1])$$

because of the bounds $0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma^*$ and $0 \leq c \leq 1$. This implies in particular that the derivative $\partial_x f(\cdot, \mu) \in L^2([x_L, x_R])$ for a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 1]$, which in turn establishes the announced continuity. \square

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Apply Lemma 4.3 with

$$\sigma(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[x_L, x_M](x)} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{1}_{[x_M, x_R](x)} \text{ and } c(x) = 1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma \text{ for } x \in [x_M, x_R].$$

Hence, for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^*)$ and a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 1]$, the function $x \mapsto \Psi_\epsilon(x, \mu)$ is continuous — see (1.4) and (1.5) for the definition of ϵ^* .

Notice that this continuity is in general not uniform in ϵ for σ is not bounded uniformly in ϵ in the diffusive region. This lack of uniformity is consistent with the presence of boundary layers Γ_0^M and Γ_0^R with variations of order 1 over lengths of order ϵ in the truncated expansions (4.9).

For the $O(\epsilon)$ estimate, this continuity at x_M for a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 0)$ together with (4.12) implies that

$$\phi_\epsilon(\mu) := \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{(0,1]})(\mu) \text{ satisfies } \|\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \leq C_0 \epsilon.$$

Then, by definition of the operators \mathcal{T}_L , \mathcal{T}_M and \mathcal{R} , one has

$$\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \mu) = (\mathcal{T}_L F_L)(\mu) + (\mathcal{T}_M \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)})(\mu)$$

and hence

$$\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} + \phi_\epsilon.$$

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 $\|\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} < 1$; hence $I - \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu))$ and

$$(4.22) \quad \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} = (I - \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \phi_\epsilon).$$

Define χ_0 by

$$(4.23) \quad \chi_0 = (I - \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1} \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L.$$

Because of (4.22) and the stability results in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2

$$(4.24) \quad \|\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} - \chi_0\|_{L^2(\mu d\mu)} \leq \frac{\|\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}}{1 - \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu d\mu))}} \leq \frac{C_0 \epsilon}{1 - \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu d\mu))}}.$$

Consider Φ the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Phi + (\Phi - c\bar{\Phi}) &= 0, & (x, \mu) &\in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1]; \\ \Phi(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1]; \\ \Phi(x_M, -\mu) &= \chi_0(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the energy estimate (4.17) shows that

$$(4.25) \quad \|\Psi_\epsilon - \Phi\|_{L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon).$$

On the other hand, the definition of χ_0 implies that $\Phi = \Phi_0$ (the solution of (3.1)). This establishes the $O(\epsilon)$ approximation for the transport domain.

Let N_ϵ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3}\partial_{xx}N_\epsilon + \gamma N_\epsilon &= 0, \quad x \in (x_M, x_R); \\ N_\epsilon(x_M) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \mu) d\mu; \\ N_\epsilon(x_R) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.24), $|N_\epsilon(x_M) - \Theta_0(x_M)| = O(\epsilon)$ and hence, by the Maximum Principle for the diffusion equation $\|N_\epsilon - \Theta_0\|_{L^\infty([x_M, x_R])} = O(\epsilon)$. On the other hand, by the order $O(\epsilon)$ statement in Proposition 4.1, one finds that $\|\Psi_\epsilon - N_\epsilon\|_{L^1([x_M, x_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$ and that, for any choice of interior points $x'_M < x'_R$ in (x_M, x_R) , $\|\Psi_\epsilon - N_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$. This eventually implies that

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Theta_0\|_{L^1([x_M, x_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$$

and

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Theta_0\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon)$$

which is precisely the $O(\epsilon)$ approximation for the diffusive part of the domain.

4.5. Proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof of the $O(\epsilon^2)$ approximation is essentially identical to the $O(\epsilon)$ case. For a.e. $\mu \in [-1, 0)$, $x \mapsto \Psi_\epsilon(x, \mu)$ is continuous at x_M and hence, by (4.14), the function ψ_ϵ defined by

$$\psi_\epsilon(\mu) := \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) - \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot))|_{(0,1]}(\mu) + \epsilon(\lambda + \mu)\partial_x\Theta_0(x_M)$$

satisfies

$$\|\psi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \leq C_1\epsilon^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} &= \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} \\ &\quad - \epsilon(\lambda + \mu)\partial_x\Theta_0(x_M) + \psi_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which we put in the form

$$(4.26) \quad \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} = (I - \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L - \epsilon(\lambda + \mu)\partial_x\Theta_0(x_M) + \psi_\epsilon).$$

Define χ_ϵ by

$$(4.27) \quad \chi_\epsilon = (I - \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L - \epsilon(\lambda + \mu)\partial_x\Theta_0(x_M)).$$

Because of (4.26) and the stability results in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2

$$(4.28) \quad \|\Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{[-1,0)} - \chi_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\mu d\mu)} \leq \frac{\|\psi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}}{1 - \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu d\mu))}} \leq \frac{C_1\epsilon^2}{1 - \|\mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu d\mu))}}.$$

Let Φ_ϵ be the solution of

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Phi_\epsilon + \Phi_\epsilon - c \overline{\Phi_\epsilon} &= 0, & (x, \mu) &\in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Phi_\epsilon(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1], \\ \Phi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) &= \chi_\epsilon(\mu), & \mu &\in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the energy estimate (4.17) shows that

$$(4.30) \quad \|\Psi_\epsilon - \Phi_\epsilon\|_{L^2([x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon^2).$$

On the other hand, the definition of χ_ϵ implies that the boundary condition at x_M for Φ_ϵ can be replaced by

$$\Phi_\epsilon(x_M, -\mu) = \mathcal{R}(\Phi_\epsilon(x_M, \cdot)|_{(0,1]})(\mu) - \epsilon(\lambda + \mu) \partial_x \Theta_0(x_M), \quad \mu \in (0, 1].$$

By linearity of the problem (4.29) and uniqueness of the solution to this problem with the above boundary condition at x_M , one finds that $\Phi_\epsilon = \Phi_0 + \epsilon \Phi_1$ which proves the $O(\epsilon^2)$ approximation for the transport domain.

Let \tilde{N}_ϵ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3} \partial_{xx} \tilde{N}_\epsilon + \gamma \tilde{N}_\epsilon &= 0, & x &\in (x_M, x_R); \\ \tilde{N}_\epsilon(x_M) - \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \tilde{N}_\epsilon(x_M) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu^2 H(\mu) \Psi_\epsilon(x_M, \mu) d\mu; \\ \tilde{N}_\epsilon(x_R) + \epsilon \lambda \partial_x \tilde{N}_\epsilon(x_R) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu^2 H(\mu) F_R(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.28) and the L^∞ stability of the diffusion equation with Robin boundary conditions, $\|\tilde{N}_\epsilon - \Theta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([x_M, x_R])} = O(\epsilon^2)$. By the order $O(\epsilon^2)$ statement in Proposition 4.1, for any choice of interior points $x'_M < x'_R$ in (x_M, x_R) , $\|\Psi_\epsilon - \tilde{N}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon^2)$. This eventually implies that

$$\|\Psi_\epsilon - \Theta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([x'_M, x'_R] \times [-1, 1])} = O(\epsilon^2)$$

which is precisely the $O(\epsilon^2)$ approximation for the diffusion part of the domain.

5. EXTENSIONS

5.1. The time-dependent problem. In many applications of transport theory, one has to deal with evolution rather than steady problems. Hence there is some interest in extending the domain decomposition algorithms studied above to time-dependent problems.

There is however a fundamental difficulty in doing so: the natural time-scale of the diffusion equation — in the domain (x_M, x_R) — is much longer than that of the transport equation — in the domain

(x_L, x_M) . One way of avoiding this difficulty is to prepare the initial and boundary data so that the evolution time scale in the transport region is exactly as long as in the diffusive region. In particular, this requires slowly varying boundary data, and an initial data that almost belongs to the nullspace of the transport operator. Keeping the same coefficients as in (1.3), we start from the problem

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon \partial_t \Psi_\epsilon + \mu \partial_x \Psi_\epsilon + \sigma \Psi_\epsilon &= \sigma c \bar{\Psi}_\epsilon, & t > 0, & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \Psi_\epsilon(t, x_L, \mu) &= F_L(t, \mu), & t > 0, & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \Psi_\epsilon(t, x_R, -\mu) &= F_R(t, \mu), & t > 0, & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \Psi_\epsilon(0, x, \mu) &= \Psi_I(x, \mu), & & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \end{aligned}$$

assuming the compatibility conditions

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \Psi_I + \sigma(x) \Psi_I &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\Psi}_I, & x_L < x < x_M, & \mu \in [-1, 1], \\ \Psi_I &\equiv \Psi_I(x), & x_M < x < x_R, & \mu \in [-1, 1], \\ F_L(0, \mu) &= \Psi_I(x_L, \mu), & & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ F_R(0, \mu) &= \Psi_I(x_R), & & \mu \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

The time-dependent coupling algorithm at order $O(\epsilon)$ is as follows:

- on the transport region $x_L < x < x_M$, solve

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon \partial_t \Phi_\epsilon + \mu \partial_x \Phi_\epsilon + \sigma \Phi_\epsilon &= \sigma c \bar{\Phi}_\epsilon, \\ \Phi_\epsilon(t, x_L, \mu) &= F_L(t, \mu), & 0 < \mu < 1, \\ \Phi_\epsilon(t, x_M, -\mu) &= \mathcal{R}(\Phi_\epsilon(t, x_M, \cdot))|_{(0,1]}(\mu), & 0 < \mu < 1, \\ \Phi_\epsilon(0, x, \mu) &= \Phi_I(x, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R} is the operator defined in Lemma 2.2;

- on the diffusion region $x_M < x < x_R$, solve

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \Theta_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{3} \partial_{xx} \Theta_\epsilon - \gamma \Theta_\epsilon, \\ \Theta_\epsilon|_{x=x_M} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) \Phi_\epsilon(t, x_M, \mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_\epsilon|_{x=x_R} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_0^1 \mu H(\mu) F_R(t, \mu) d\mu, \\ \Theta_\epsilon|_{t=0} &= \Psi_I, \end{aligned}$$

where H is Chandrasekhar's function — see Definition 2.1;

- eventually the global solution is approximated by

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_\epsilon(t, x, \mu) &= \Phi_\epsilon(t, x, \mu), & x_L < x < x_M, \\ \Psi_\epsilon(t, x, \mu) &= \Theta_\epsilon(t, x), & x_M < x < x_R. \end{aligned}$$

A few remarks on this domain decomposition method are in order. First, because of the assumption bearing on the boundary and initial data, if

$$\partial_t F_L \in L^2([0, T] \times [0, 1], \mu dt d\mu) \text{ for all } T > 0,$$

the energy estimate implies that

$$\partial_t \Phi_\epsilon = O(1) \text{ in } L^2([0, T] \times [x_L, x_M] \times [-1, 1], dt dx d\mu) \text{ for all } T > 0,$$

Using (5.3) implies that the boundary data for the diffusion equation is uniformly bounded in $H_{loc}^1([0, +\infty))$ since

$$\partial_t \Phi_\epsilon|_{x=x_M} = O(1) \text{ in } L^2([0, T] \times [-1, 1], \mu dt d\mu).$$

In particular, the (slow) time scale of the diffusion equation is consistent with the (slow) time scale of the distribution of particles emerging from the transport region. A straightforward modification of (4.9) leads to a boundary layer governed by (2.1)-(2.2) — exactly as in the steady case.

Secondly, the transport and diffusive regions are decoupled *globally in time* up to an $O(\epsilon)$ error by using the appropriate reflection condition at the interface, that is given in terms of the operator \mathcal{R} . One can see that in the other existing domain decomposition algorithms, there is an iteration within any given time step so as to match the transport and diffusive domains. *As already mentioned above, avoiding these iterations is one of the benefits of using the exact asymptotic reflection operator \mathcal{R} instead of other, ad hoc prescriptions for the transmission condition at the interface.*

5.2. The case of higher space dimensions. The methods in this paper can also be extended to transport equations in space dimensions higher than 1. Consider for example the transport equation with isotropic scattering

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega \cdot \nabla_x \Psi(x, \omega) + \sigma(x) \Psi(x, \omega) &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\Psi}(x), \\ \text{where } \bar{\Psi}(x) &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{D-1}|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \Psi(x, \omega) d\omega, \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 2. Geometry of the multi-dimensional interface problem

posed for $(x, \omega) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1}$, where Ω is a smooth, bounded convex domain in \mathbf{R}^D . The coefficients σ are of the form

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \sigma_T(x), & \text{and} & \quad c(x) = c_T(x) \in (0, 1), & \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_T, \\ \sigma(x) &= \epsilon^{-1} \sigma_D(x), & \text{and} & \quad c(x) = 1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma(x), & \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_D. \end{aligned}$$

Here Ω_D is a smooth, convex domain whose closure $\overline{\Omega}_D$ is included in Ω , and $\Omega_T = \Omega \setminus \Omega_D$. The functions σ_T , σ_D , γ are assumed to be smooth and positive; likewise, the function c_T is assumed to be smooth.

The problem (5.13) is supplemented with the boundary condition

$$(5.8) \quad \Psi(x, \omega) = F_b(x, \omega), \quad \text{for } (x, \omega) \in \Sigma^-.$$

Here

$$(5.9) \quad \Sigma^- = \{(x, \omega) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1} \mid \omega \cdot n_x < 0\}$$

where n_x is the unit outward normal at the point x of $\partial\Omega$.

In this problem, the interface is $\partial\Omega_D$ (see figure 2); further, we need the notations

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_i^+ &= \{(x, \omega) \in \partial\Omega_D \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1} \mid \omega \cdot \nu_x > 0\}, \\ \Sigma_i^- &= \{(x, \omega) \in \partial\Omega_D \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1} \mid \omega \cdot \nu_x < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

where ν_x is the unit outward normal to Ω_D at the point $x \in \partial\Omega_D$.

We first describe the analog of Chandrasekhar's H-function and of the boundary layer response operator \mathcal{R} for this problem. Given $\nu \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}$, consider the auxiliary problem

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} -(\omega \cdot \nu) \partial_z \Gamma + \Gamma - \bar{\Gamma} &= 0, & z > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ \Gamma(0, \omega) &= G(\omega), & \omega \cdot \nu < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathbf{S}_\nu^- = \{\omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1} \mid \omega \cdot \nu < 0\}$. Proceeding exactly as in section 2, one sees that, given $G \in L^2(\mathbf{S}_\nu^-, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega)$, the problem (5.11) has a unique solution $\Gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$. In addition, there exists a linear functional Λ_ν and a bounded operator \mathcal{R}_ν both acting on $L^2(\mathbf{S}_\nu^-, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega)$ such that

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(z, \omega) &\rightarrow \Gamma_\infty = \Lambda_\nu(G) \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1} \text{ and} \\ \Gamma(0, \mathbf{s}_\nu(\omega)) &= (\mathcal{R}_\nu G)(\omega) \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \mathbf{S}_\nu^-, \end{aligned}$$

with the notation $\mathbf{s}_\nu(\omega) = \omega - 2(\omega \cdot \nu)\nu$. The linear functional Λ_ν and the operator \mathcal{R}_ν are expressed in terms of a variant of the Chandrasekhar H function which can be computed numerically by solving a nonlinear integral equation that is analogous to (2.6): see Appendix B.

The coupling algorithm at order $O(\epsilon)$ for this problem is as follows:

- on the transport domain Ω_T , solve

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega \cdot \nabla_x \Phi(x, \omega) + \sigma(x) \Phi(x, \omega) &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\Phi}(x), & \text{for } (x, \omega) \in \Omega_T \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ \Phi(x, \omega) &= F_b(x, \omega), & \text{for } (x, \omega) \in \Sigma^-, \\ \Phi(x, \mathbf{s}_{\nu_x}(\omega)) &= \mathcal{R}_{\nu_x} \Phi(x, \omega), & \text{for } (x, \omega) \in \Sigma_i^+; \end{aligned}$$

- on the diffusion domain Ω_D , solve

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma(x) \Theta(x) - \frac{1}{D} \nabla_x \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \nabla_x \Theta(x) \right) &= 0, & \text{on } \Omega_D, \\ \Theta(x) &= \Lambda_{\nu_x}(\Phi(x, \cdot)), & \text{on } \partial\Omega_T, \end{aligned}$$

- finally the solution Ψ_ϵ of (5.13)-(5.8)-(5.7) on $\Omega \times \mathbf{S}^{D-1}$ is approximated to within $O(\epsilon)$ by

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_\epsilon(x, \omega) &= \Phi(x, \omega) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_T, \\ \Psi_\epsilon(x, \omega) &= \Theta(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_D. \end{aligned}$$

There is also a $O(\epsilon^2)$ coupling, whose formulation follows essentially Theorem 3.2, and which can be easily worked out with the material presented in this paper.

5.3. The discrete-ordinate method. We return to the steady problem (1.1)-(1.2) with coefficients as in (1.3), and discuss the domain decomposition algorithms for the discrete-ordinate method. The discrete-ordinate method is a semi-discrete version of (1.1) where only the angle variable μ is discretized. In this method, the variable μ is discretized by a set \mathcal{M} of $2M$ quadrature points μ_m with quadrature weights $\alpha_m > 0$. These points and weights are indexed by $m \in \mathcal{M} = \{-M, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, M\}$ ordered as follows:

$$(5.16) \quad -1 < \mu_{-M} < \dots < \mu_{-1} < 0 < \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_M < 1,$$

with the symmetry

$$(5.17) \quad \mu_{-m} = -\mu_m, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{-m} = \alpha_m, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathcal{M}.$$

In addition, these quadrature points and weights are assumed to satisfy the quadrature conditions

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m^{2k} \alpha_m &= 1 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \\ \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m^{2k} \alpha_m &= \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for } k = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Additional conditions might also be assumed (see [14]-[15]-[12]). The conditions above are met by many quadrature sets, for instance, by the classical Gauss quadrature over $[-1, 1]$, or the so-called ‘‘double Gauss’’ quadrature over $[-1, 0] \cup [0, 1]$ provided that each half interval range contains at least 2 quadrature points.

The value of the exact solution $\Psi^\epsilon(x, \mu)$ of the steady transport equation (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) at the quadrature points μ_m is then formally approximated by the discrete particle densities $\psi^\epsilon \equiv \psi_m^\epsilon(x)$ that satisfy the so-called discrete-ordinate equation

$$(5.19) \quad \mu_m \partial_x \psi_m^\epsilon + \sigma(x) \psi_m^\epsilon = \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\psi}^\epsilon,$$

where

$$\bar{\psi}^\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq |n| \leq M} \psi_n^\epsilon(x) \alpha_n,$$

and with boundary conditions given by

$$(5.20) \quad \psi_m^\epsilon(x_L) = f_{L,m}, \quad \psi_{-m}^\epsilon(x_R) = f_{R,m}, \quad \text{for } m > 0.$$

The discretized boundary data $f_{L,m}$ and $f_{R,m}$ can be defined in various ways from the original boundary data F_L and F_M , as discussed in [14], [12].

The analysis of (5.19)-(5.20) under the conditions (5.17) and (5.18) exactly parallels that of (1.1)-(1.2), at the expense of replacing the measure $d\mu$ by its discrete analogue $\sum_{1 \leq |k| \leq M} \delta_{\mu_k}$.

In the diffusive domain $x_M < x < x_R$, $\sigma(x) = \epsilon^{-1}$ while $c(x) = 1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma(x)$; hence

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_m \partial_x \psi_m^\epsilon + \epsilon^{-1} \psi_m^\epsilon &= \epsilon^{-1} (1 - \epsilon^2 \gamma) \overline{\psi}^\epsilon, & x_M < x < x_R, \\ \psi_m^\epsilon(x_M) &= g_m, & \text{for } m > 0, \\ \psi_{-m}^\epsilon(x_R) &= f_{R,m}, & \text{for } m > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary data g_m will be determined later.

The diffusion approximation to the discrete-ordinate equation (5.21) is (see [20], [14], [12])

$$\psi_m^\epsilon = \theta^\epsilon - \epsilon \mu_m \partial_x \theta^\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2),$$

where θ^ϵ satisfies the diffusion equation

$$(5.22) \quad -\frac{1}{3} \partial_{xx} \theta^\epsilon + \gamma \theta^\epsilon = 0, \quad x \in (x_M, x_R)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta^\epsilon - \epsilon \lambda_{disc} \partial_x \theta^\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_M} &= \sum_{m=1}^M \psi_m^\epsilon(x_M) w_m, \\ \theta^\epsilon + \epsilon \lambda_{disc} \partial_x \theta^\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_R} &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_{R,m} w_m. \end{aligned}$$

Obtaining the boundary conditions (5.23) can be done exactly as in the case of a continuous angular variable μ : see [14]. This involves discrete ordinate analogs of the half-space problem studied in section 2. In particular, one obtains discrete versions of the Chandrasekhar H -function and of the operator \mathcal{R} , by replacing the measure $d\mu$ with its discrete analog $\sum_{1 \leq |k| \leq M} \delta_{\mu_k}$ in the procedure described in section 2.

Alternately, one can follow the analysis in [6], originally done in the context of the discrete ordinate equation. We review this analysis below in its slightly modified form to be found in [14].

The extrapolated endpoint distance λ_{disc} in (5.23) measured in mean free paths is given by (see [14])

$$(5.24) \quad \lambda_{disc} = \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m - \sum_{n=1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{\xi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $\xi = \xi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ is the unique (positive, simple) root of the characteristic equation (see [6], chapter III, fla. (6))

$$(5.25) \quad 1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{\alpha_m}{1 - \mu_m \xi},$$

that lies in the open interval $(1/\mu_{n+1}, 1/\mu_n)$.

The weights w_m that appear in (5.23) are computed in terms of the μ_m and the $\xi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ by the formula

$$(5.26) \quad w_m = \prod_{n=1}^{M-1} \left(\mu_m - \frac{1}{\xi_{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^M \left(\frac{1}{\mu_m - \mu_k} \right) > 0.$$

These expressions for λ_{disc} and the w_m are derived in [14] — see flas. (A.17) and (A.18) — through a discrete boundary layer analysis. The w_m 's are the discrete analog of Chandrasekhar's H -function of Definition 2.1.

Finally, we need the discrete version of the reflection operator \mathcal{R} . In the discrete case, the problem analogous to determining $\Gamma(0, -\mu)$ in terms of G (with the notations of Lemma 2.2) reduces to Lagrange interpolation. One finds that the discrete analog of \mathcal{R} is

$$(5.27) \quad (\mathcal{R}_{disc}g)_m \equiv (-1)^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^M g_k \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M-1} \frac{1 - \xi_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \mu_k}{1 + \xi_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \mu_m} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^M \frac{\mu_m + \mu_i}{\mu_k - \mu_i} \right).$$

This formula can be found by following the argument in Appendix A.A of [14], after setting $b = 0$ in fla. (A1) there.

Proceeding as in section 3, we formulate the coupling algorithms:

Order ϵ coupling.

First solve the discrete ordinate equation

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_m \partial_x \phi_m^0 + \phi_m^0 - \overline{c} \phi_m^0 &= 0, \quad x \in (x_L, x_M), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \\ \phi_m^0(x_L) &= f_{L,m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M, \\ \phi_{-m}^0(x_M) &= \mathcal{R}_{disc}(\phi^0(x_M))_m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Once this is done and the density ϕ_0 has been computed, solve the diffusion problem

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3}\partial_{xx}\theta^0 + \gamma\theta^0 &= 0, \quad x \in (x_M, x_R), \\ \theta^0(x_M) &= \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m^0(x_M)w_m, \\ \theta^0(x_R) &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_{R,m}(x_M)w_m. \end{aligned}$$

When keeping M fixed and letting ϵ converge to zero, the solution ψ_m^ϵ is approximated by

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_m^0(x) &= \phi_m^0(x), \quad x \in (x_L, x_M), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \\ \psi_m^0(x) &= \theta^0(x), \quad x \in (x_L, x_M), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \end{aligned}$$

to within $O(\epsilon)$.

Order ϵ^2 coupling.

With θ^0 computed as in the previous step, solve the discrete ordinate equation

$$(5.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_m \partial_x \phi_m^1 + \phi_m^1 - c\bar{\phi}_m^1 &= 0, \quad x \in (x_L, x_M), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \\ \phi_m^1(x_L) &= 0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M, \\ \phi_{-m}^1(x_M) &= \mathcal{R}_{disc}(\phi^1(x_M))_m - (\lambda_{disc} + \mu_m)\partial_x \theta^0(x_M), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Once this is done, ϕ^0 and ϕ^1 have been computed and one can solve the diffusion problem

$$(5.32) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{3}\partial_{xx}\theta_\epsilon + \gamma\theta_\epsilon &= 0, \quad x \in (x_M, x_R), \\ \theta_\epsilon(x_M) - \epsilon\lambda_{disc}\partial_x \theta_\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_M} &= \sum_{m=1}^M (\phi_m^0 + \epsilon\phi_m^1)(x_M)w_m, \\ \theta_\epsilon(x_R) + \epsilon\lambda_{disc}\partial_x \theta_\epsilon \Big|_{x=x_R} &= \sum_{m=1}^M f_{R,m}(x_M)w_m. \end{aligned}$$

When keeping M fixed and letting ϵ converge to zero, the solution ψ_m^ϵ is approximated by

$$(5.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_m^{1,\epsilon}(x) &= \phi_m^0(x) + \epsilon\phi_m^1(x), \quad x \in (x_L, x_M), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \\ \psi_m^{1,\epsilon}(x) &= \theta_m^0(x) - \epsilon\mu_m\partial_x \theta_\epsilon(x), \quad x \in (x_M, x_R), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \end{aligned}$$

to within $O(\epsilon^2)$.

The error estimates concerning the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ while keeping M fixed are analogous to those in section 4. What happens if in addition

one lets M tend to ∞ can be analyzed by using the methods described in the present paper with those from [12].

6. APPENDIX A: THE TRANSPORT EQUATION WITH REFLECTION BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Let \mathcal{A} be a bounded, linear operator on $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \|\mathcal{A}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} \leq 1.$$

Consider the transport equation

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \psi + \sigma(x) \psi &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\psi}, & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \psi(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \psi(x_M, -\mu) &= \mathcal{A}(\psi(x_M, \cdot))|_{(0,1]}(\mu) + \phi_M(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that the measurable functions σ and c satisfy the bounds $0 < \sigma_* \leq \sigma(x) \leq \sigma^*$ and $0 \leq c(x) \leq c^* < 1$ for each $x \in (x_L, x_R)$ and some constants σ_* , σ^* and c^* . For each F_L and $\phi_M \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$, there exists a unique solution ψ to (6.2) in $L^2((x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1])$.*

Proof. We use the objects and notations from Lemma 4.1. Assume the existence of a solution $\psi \in L^2((x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1])$; the transport equation implies that $\mu \partial_x \psi$ is in $L^2((x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1])$, so that $\psi(x_M, \mu)$ is a well-defined element in $L^2([-1, 1], |\mu| d\mu)$. Define $F_M(\mu) = \psi(x_M, -\mu)$ for $\mu \in (0, 1]$; thus the boundary condition at x_M is equivalent to the equality

$$F_M = \mathcal{A} \circ (\mathcal{T}_L F_L + \mathcal{T}_M F_M) + \phi_M.$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\|\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_M\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,1], \mu d\mu))} < 1$, thus $I - \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_M$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu))$. Hence

$$F_M = (I - \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1} (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \phi_M);$$

this shows that $F_M \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ is uniquely defined in terms of F_L and ϕ_M , so that there is at most one solution ψ of (6.2) in $L^2((x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1])$. Conversely, let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \partial_x \tilde{\psi} + \sigma(x) \tilde{\psi} &= \sigma(x) c(x) \bar{\tilde{\psi}}, & (x, \mu) \in (x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1], \\ \tilde{\psi}(x_L, \mu) &= F_L(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1], \\ \tilde{\psi}(x_M, -\mu) &= (I - \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1} (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \phi_M)(\mu), & \mu \in (0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Since F_L and $\phi_M \in L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$, the boundary term

$$(I - \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_M)^{-1} (\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{T}_L F_L + \phi_M)$$

also belongs to $L^2([0, 1], \mu d\mu)$ so that the above transport equation has a unique solution $\tilde{\psi} \in L^2((x_L, x_M) \times [-1, 1])$. But the boundary condition at x_M implies that

$$\tilde{\psi}(x_M, -\mu) = \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\psi}(x_M, \cdot)|_{(0,1]})(\mu) + \phi_M(\mu)$$

so that $\tilde{\psi}$ coincides with ψ by the uniqueness property proved above. \square

7. APPENDIX B: THE MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CHANDRASEKHAR FUNCTION

The adjoint problem

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\omega \cdot \nu) \partial_z v + v - \bar{v} &= 0, & z > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ v(0, \omega) &= 0, & \omega \cdot \nu > 0, \\ \overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)v} &= -1, & z > 0, \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $v \equiv v(z, \omega)$ such that

$$(7.2) \quad (z, \omega) \mapsto v(z, \omega) - \frac{1}{K^2} z \text{ belongs to } L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$$

where $K = (\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)^2})^{1/2}$. It is defined by the formula

$$v(z, \omega) = v_0(z, \omega) + \frac{1}{K^2} (z - (\omega \cdot \nu))$$

where v_0 is the unique solution in $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$ of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \cdot \nu) \partial_z v_0 + v_0 - \bar{v}_0 &= 0, & z > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ v_0(0, \omega) &= \omega \cdot \nu, & \omega \cdot \nu > 0, \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$ be the unique solution of (5.11). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{D-1}} (\omega \cdot \nu) u(z, \omega) v(z, \omega) d\omega &= \int_{\mathbf{S}^{D-1}} (\Gamma(z, \omega) - \bar{\Gamma}(z)) v(z, \omega) d\omega \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbf{S}^{D-1}} \Gamma(z, \omega) (v(z, \omega) - \bar{v}(z)) d\omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By evaluating the quantity $\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)\Gamma v}$ both at $z = 0$ and for $z \rightarrow +\infty$, one finds that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}_\nu^-} (\omega \cdot \nu) G(\omega) v(0, \omega) d\omega = \overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)v} \Lambda_\nu(G)$$

leading to the formula

$$(7.3) \quad \Lambda_\nu(G) = \int_{\mathbf{S}_\nu^-} |\omega \cdot \nu| G(\omega) v(0, \omega) d\omega.$$

This expression is analogous to the first formula in Lemma 2.2. In other words, the linear functional Λ_ν is represented on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{S}_\nu^-, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega)$ by the function $\frac{1}{K}H_\nu(\omega)$, where H_ν is defined by

$$H_\nu(\omega) = Kv(0, \omega).$$

Consider next the function

$$u(z, \omega) = (\omega \cdot \nu)\Gamma(z, \omega) + \int_0^z \bar{\Gamma}(s) ds;$$

it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega \cdot \nu)\partial_z u + u - \bar{u} &= 0, & z > 0, \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ u(0, \omega) &= (\omega \cdot \nu)G(\omega), & \omega \cdot \nu < 0, \\ \overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)u} &= K^2\Lambda_\nu(G), & z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It also verifies the condition

$$(z, \omega) \mapsto u(z, \omega) - \Lambda_\nu(G)z \text{ belongs to } L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega)).$$

Let $w \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$ be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega \cdot \nu)\partial_z w + w - \bar{w} &= 0, & z > 0, \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ w(0, \omega) &= (\omega \cdot \nu)G(\omega), & \omega \cdot \nu < 0. \end{aligned}$$

It must also verify the condition

$$\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)w} = 0 \text{ for all } z > 0.$$

Indeed, by averaging over \mathbf{S}^{D-1} the equations for w and $(\omega \cdot \nu)w$, one finds that

$$\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)w} = Cst \text{ and } \frac{d}{dz}\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)^2 w} = \overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)w};$$

one concludes by observing that $\overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)^2 w} \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$.

Hence the function $u - w$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega \cdot \nu)\partial_z(u - w) + (u - w) - \overline{(u - w)} &= 0, & z > 0, \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{D-1}, \\ (u - w)(0, \omega) &= 0, & \omega \cdot \nu < 0, \\ \overline{(\omega \cdot \nu)(u - w)} &= K^2\Lambda_\nu(G), & z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the solution of (5.11) in $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+; L^2(\mathbf{S}^{D-1}, |\omega \cdot \nu| d\omega))$, one finds that

$$(u - w)(z, \mathbf{s}_\nu \omega) = K^2\Lambda_\nu(G)v(z, \omega), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{s}_\nu \omega = \omega - 2(\omega \cdot \nu)\nu.$$

At $z = 0$ and for $\omega \cdot \nu < 0$, this relation can be recast as

$$-(\omega \cdot \nu)\mathcal{R}_\nu G(\omega) - (\mathcal{R}_\nu(\omega \cdot \nu)G)(\omega) = H_\nu(\omega) \int_{\mathbf{S}_\nu^-} |\omega' \cdot \nu| H_\nu(\omega') G(\omega') d\omega',$$

which shows that \mathcal{R}_ν is of the form

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu G(\omega) = \int_{\mathbf{S}_\nu^-} r_\nu(\omega, \omega') G(\omega') |\omega' \cdot \nu| d\omega'$$

with

$$(7.4) \quad r_\nu(\omega, \omega') = \frac{H_\nu(\omega) H_\nu(\omega')}{|\omega \cdot \nu| + |\omega' \cdot \nu|}.$$

This expression is analogous to the second formula in Lemma 2.2.

Obviously, the solution Γ of (5.11) corresponding to $G \equiv 1$ is $\Gamma \equiv 1$; hence $\mathcal{R}_\nu 1 = 1$, which results in the nonlinear integral equation for H_ν

$$\frac{1}{H_\nu(\omega)} = \int_{\mathbf{S}_\nu^-} \frac{H_\nu(\omega')}{|\omega \cdot \nu| + |\omega' \cdot \nu|} |\omega' \cdot \nu| d\omega'.$$

This shows in fact that H_ν depends only on $\omega \cdot \nu$: specifically

$$H_\nu(\omega) = \mathcal{H}_D(|\omega \cdot \nu|)$$

and the nonlinear integral equation for H_ν can be recast in terms of \mathcal{H}_D in the following manner:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_D(\mu)} = |\mathbf{S}^{D-2}| \int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{H}_D(\mu')}{\mu + \mu'} \mu' (1 - \mu'^2)^{\frac{D-2}{2}} d\mu'.$$

This integral equation is analogous to (2.6) and can be solved numerically by a relaxation method.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bal, Y. Maday, *Coupling of Transport and Diffusion Models in Linear Transport Theory*, to appear in Math. Modelling and Numer. Anal..
- [2] C. Bardos, R. Santos, R. Sentis, *Diffusion Approximation and Computation of Critical Size*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 617–649.
- [3] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, G.C. Papanicolaou, *Boundary layers and homogenization of transport processes*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **15** (1979), no. 1, 53–157.
- [4] J.-F. Bourgat, P. Le Tallec, B. Perthame, Y. Qiu, *Coupling Boltzmann and Euler equations without overlapping*, in *Domain decomposition methods in science and engineering (Como, 1992)*, 377–398, Contemp. Math. **157**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [5] C. Buet, S. Cordier, B. Lucquin-Desreux, S. Mancini, *Diffusion limit of the Lorentz model: asymptotic preserving schemes*, to appear in Math. Modelling and Numer. Anal..
- [6] S. Chandrasekhar, *Radiative Transfer*, Dover, New York, 1960.
- [7] R. Dautray and J.L. Lions, *Analyse Mathématique et Calcul Numérique pour les Sciences et les Techniques*, Collection du Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique: Série Scientifique, Masson, Paris, 1985.
- [8] P. Degond, C. Schmeiser, *Kinetic boundary layers and fluid-kinetic coupling in semiconductors*, Transport Theory Statist. Phys. **28** (1999), no. 1, 31–55.

- [9] S. Dellacherie, *Kinetic fluid coupling in the field of the atomic vapor laser isotopic separation: numerical results in the case of a mono-species perfect gas*, presented at the 23rd International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Whistler (British Columbia), July 2002.
- [10] F. Golse *Applications of the Boltzmann equation within the context of upper atmosphere vehicle aerodynamics*, Computer Meth. in Appl. Mech. and Engineering **75** (1989), 299-316.
- [11] F. Golse *Knudsen layers from a computational viewpoint*, Transp. Theory and Stat. Phys. **21**, (1992), 211–236.
- [12] F. Golse, S. Jin and C.D. Levermore, *The Convergence of Numerical Transfer Schemes in Diffusive Regimes, I. The Discrete-Ordinate Method*, SIAM J. Num. Anal. **36** (1999), 1333–1369.
- [13] M. Günther, P. Le Tallec, J.-P. Perlat, J. Struckmeier, *Numerical modeling of gas flows in the transition between rarefied and continuum regimes. Numerical flow simulation I*, (Marseille, 1997), 222–241, Notes Numer. Fluid Mech., 66, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1998.
- [14] S. Jin and C.D. Levermore, *The Discrete-Ordinate Method in Diffusive Regimes*, Transp. Theory and Stat. Phys. **20** (1991), 413–439.
- [15] S. Jin and C.D. Levermore, *Fully discrete numerical transfer in diffusive regimes*, Transport Theory Statist. Phys. **22** (1993), 739–791.
- [16] A. Klar, *Convergence of alternating domain decomposition schemes for kinetic and aerodynamic equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **18** (1995), no. 8, 649–670.
- [17] A. Klar, *Asymptotic-induced domain decomposition methods for kinetic and drift-diffusion semiconductor equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **19** (1998), 2032–2050.
- [18] A. Klar, H. Neunzert and J. Struckmeier, *Transition From Kinetic Theory to Macroscopic Fluid Equations: a Problem for Domain Decomposition and a Source for New Algorithm*, Transp. Theory and Stat. Phys. **29** (2000), 93–106.
- [19] A. Klar, N. Siedow, *Boundary layers and domain decomposition for radiative heat transfer and diffusion equations: applications to glass manufacturing process*, European J. Appl. Math. **9** (1998), 351–372.
- [20] E.W. Larsen, J.E. Morel, and W.F. Miller, Jr., *Asymptotic Solutions of Numerical Transport Problems in Optically Thick, Diffusive Regimes*, J. Comput. Phys. **69** (1987), 283–324.
- [21] P. Le Tallec, F. Mallinger, *Coupling Boltzmann and Navier-Stokes equations by half fluxes*, J. Comput. Phys. **136** (1997), 51–67.
- [22] P. Le Tallec, M. Tidriri, *Convergence analysis of domain decomposition algorithms with full overlapping for the advection-diffusion problems*, Math. Comp. **68** (1999), 585–606.
- [23] M. Tidriri, *New Models for the Solution of Intermediate Regimes in Transport Theory and Radiative Transfer: Existence Theory, Positivity, Asymptotic Analysis, and Approximations*, J. Stat. Phys. **104** (2001), 291-325.
- [24] N. Wiener, E. Hopf *Über eine Klasse singulärer Integralgleichungen*, Sitzber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Sitzung der phys.-math. Klasse, Berlin (1931), 696–706.

(F. G.) INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, & DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE PARIS, 45 RUE D'ULM, 75230 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: golse@dma.ens.fr

(S. J.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON, MADISON, WI 53706, USA

E-mail address: jin@math.wisc.edu

(D. L.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, & INSTITUTE OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA

E-mail address: lvrmr@math.umd.edu