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#### Abstract

We consider the initial value problem associated to a large class of fifth order nonlinear dispersive equations. This class includes several models arising in the study of different physical phenomena. Our aim is to establish special (space) decay properties of solutions to these systems. These properties complement previous unique continuation results and in some case, show that they are optimal. These decay estimates reflect the "parabolic character" of these dispersive models in exponential weighted spaces. This principle was first obtained by T. Kato in solutions of the KdV equation.


## 1. Introduction

In this work we shall study decay and uniqueness properties of solutions to a class of higher order dispersive models. More precisely, we shall be concerned with one space dimensional (1D) dispersive models in which the dispersive relation is described by the fifth order operator $\partial_{x}^{5}$. Roughly, the general form of the class of equations to be considered here is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(\cdot)$ is a polynomial without constant or linear term, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\sum_{2 \leq|\alpha| \leq N} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \quad N \geq 2, \quad a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this class one finds a large set of models arising in both mathematical and physical settings. Thus, the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=10 u \partial_{x}^{3} u+20 \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u-30 u^{2} \partial_{x} u \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to the third equation in the KdV hierarchy, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x} u=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the KdV

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u+u \partial_{x} u=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the first and second ones respectively in the hierarchy the jth being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+(-1)^{j} \partial_{x}^{2 j+1} u+Q_{j}\left(u, \ldots, \partial_{x}^{2 j-1} u\right)=0, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q_{j}(\cdot)$ an appropriate polynomial (see [6]).
Further examples of integrable models of the equation in (1.1)-(1.2) were deduced in [14] and [25] which also arise in the study of higher order models of water waves.

[^0]In [1] the cases

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=c_{1} u \partial_{x} u, \quad P_{2}=u \partial_{x}^{3} u+2 \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

were proposed as models describing the interaction between long and short waves.
In [20] the example of (1.1)-(1.2) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(u+u^{2}\right) \partial_{x} u+(1+u)\left(\partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u+u \partial_{x}^{3} u\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

was deduced in the study of the motion of a lattice of anharmonic oscillators (by simplicity the values of the coefficients in (1.8) have been taken equal to one). In [11] the equations (1.1)-(1.2) with $P=P_{1}$ as in (1.7) were proposed as a model for magneto-acoustic waves at the critical angle in cold plasma.

Other cases of the equations (1.1)-(1.2) have been studied in [21], [15], ....
The well-posedness of the initial value problem (IVP) and the periodic boundary value problem (PBVP) associated to the equation (1.1) have been extensively studied (in the wellposedness of these problems in a function space $X$ one includes existence, uniqueness of a solution $u \in C([0, T]: X) \cap \ldots$ with $T=T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{X}\right)>0, u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0}$, and the map $u_{0} \mapsto u$ being locally continuous).

In [24] Saut proved the existence of solutions corresponding to smooth data for the IVP for the whole KdV hierarchy sequence of equations in (1.6).

In [26] Schwarz considered the PBVP for the KdV hierarchy (1.6) establishing existence and uniqueness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for $s \geq 3 j-1$.

In [23] Ponce showed that the IVP for (1.1)-(1.2) with (1.2) as in (1.3) (i.e. the third equation in the KdV hierarchy) is globally well posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 4$.

In [17] Kenig, Ponce and Vega established the local well-posedness in weighted Sobolev spaces $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(|x|^{m}\right)$ of the IVP for the sequence of equations in (1.6) for any polyno$\operatorname{mial} Q_{j}\left(u, \ldots, \partial_{x}^{2 j} u\right)$, for $s \geq j m$ and $s \geq s_{0}(j)$.

The latter work motivated several further studies concerning the minimal regularity required in the Sobolev scale to guarantee that the IVP associated to (1.1)-(1.2) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$. These results heavily depend on the structure of the nonlinearity $P(\cdot)$ in (1.2) considered. In [22] Pilod showed that the IVP associated to the equation (1.1)-(1.2) with a nonlinearity involving a quadratic term depending on $\partial_{x}^{3} u$ (as in (1.3)) cannot be solved by an argument based on the contraction principle. This is not the case when $P(\cdot)$ in (1.2) has the form $P=P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u\right)$, see [17]. This is quite different to the case for the KdV equation (1.5) for which the well posedness can be established via contraction principle, see [19] for details and references.

Concerning the model (1.1) with $P$ as in (1.3) in [18] Kwon obtained local well posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s>5 / 2$. For the same problem Kenig and Pilod [16] and Guo, Kwak and Kwon [7] simultaneously established local and global results in the energy space, i.e. $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 2$.

For other well posedness results concerning the IVP associated to the equation (1.1) with different $P$ in (1.2) see [2], [13], [3], [8] and references therein.

Special uniqueness properties of solutions to the IVP associated to the equation (1.1)(1.2) were studied by Dawson [4]. It was established in [4] that if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C([0, T]$ : $\left.H^{6}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(|x|^{3} d x\right)\right), T>1$, are two solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) such that

$$
\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 0),\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 1) \in L^{2}\left(e^{x_{+}^{4 / 3+\varepsilon}} d x\right)
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$, then $u_{1} \equiv u_{2}$. Moreover, in the case where in (1.2) one has

$$
P=P\left(u, \partial_{x} u\right)=\sum_{2 \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leq N} a_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}} u^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{\alpha_{2}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

the exponent $4 / 3$ can be replaced by $5 / 4$.
In fact one should expect the general result in [4] to hold with 5/4 in (1.9) instead of $4 / 3$ for all $P(\cdot)$ in (1.2). However the argument of proof in [4] follows that given in [5] for the KdV equation. More precisely, it was established in [5] that there exists $a_{0}>0$ such that if $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C\left([0, T]: H^{4}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(|x|^{2} d x\right)\right), T>1$, are solutions of the IVP associated to the KdV equation (1.5) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 0),\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 1) \in L^{2}\left(e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{3 / 2}} d x\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u_{1} \equiv u_{2}$. (Although, the statements in [5] and [4] contain stronger hypotheses than the ones described in (1.9) and (1.10) respectively, these can be deduced by interpolation between (1.9) and (1.10) and the corresponding inequalities following by the assumptions on the class of solutions considered).

The value of the exponents above are dictated by the following decay estimate concerning the fundamental solution of the associated linear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v+\partial_{x}^{2 j+1} v=0  \tag{1.11}\\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [27] it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\frac{c_{j}}{t^{1 /(2 j+1)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{j}\left(\frac{x-x^{\prime}}{t^{1 /(2 j+1)}}\right) v_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K_{j}(\cdot)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{j}(x)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\left(1+x_{-}\right)^{(2 j-1) / 4 j}} e^{-c_{j} x_{+}^{(2 j+1) / 2 j}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{+}=\max \{x, 0\}, x_{-}=-\min \{x, 0\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{j}\left(\frac{x}{t^{1 /(2 j+1)}}\right) \sim e^{-c_{j}\left(\frac{x^{(2 j+1)}}{t}\right)^{1 / 2 j}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this reason and the result in [5] one should expect that (1.9) holds with 5/4 instead of $4 / 3$ for a large class of polynomials in (1.2) including that in (1.3). The obstruction appears in [4] when the Carleman estimate deduced in [5] is used in this higher order setting.

In [9] we proved that the result in [5] commented above is optimal. More precisely, the following results were established in [9].
(i) If $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{3 / 2}} d x\right), a_{0}>0$, then, for any $T>0$, the solution of the IVP for the KdV equation (1.5) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{3 / 2}}|u(x, t)|^{2} d x \leq c^{*}=c^{*}\left(a_{0} ;\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2} ;\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0} x_{+}^{3 / 2}} u_{0}\right\|_{2} ; T\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\left(1+27 a_{0}^{2} t / 4\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C\left([0, \infty): H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}(|x| d x)\right)$ are solutions of the IVP for the KdV equation (1.5) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{3 / 2}}\left|u_{1}(x, 0)-u_{2}(x, 0)\right|^{2} d x<\infty \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $T>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{3 / 2}}\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right|^{2} d x \leq c^{*} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c^{*}=c^{*}\left(a_{0} ;\left\|u_{1}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{1,2} ;\left\|u_{2}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{1,2} ;\left\|\left.x\right|^{1 / 2} u_{1}(x, 0)\right\|_{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left\|\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{1,2} ;\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0} x_{+}^{3 / 2}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{2} ; T\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a(t)$ as in (1.16).
In order to simplify the exposition we state our main result first for the case of the IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+b_{1} u \partial_{x}^{3} u+b_{2} \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u+b_{3} u^{2} \partial_{x} u=0  \tag{1.19}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary constants.

## theorem1

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in H^{3}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} d x\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of the IVP (1.19) provided in [16]

$$
u \in C\left([0, T]: H^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap \ldots
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}}|u(x, t)|^{2} d x \leq c^{*}=c^{*}\left(a_{0} ;\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{3,2} ;\left\|e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0}\right\|_{2} ; T\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(1.22)

$$
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+k a_{0}^{4} t}} \quad \text { with } \quad k=11 \frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}
$$

theorem2 Theorem 1.2. Let $a_{0}$ be a positive constant. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be solutions of the IVP (1.19) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1} \in C\left([0, T]: H^{8}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(|x|^{4} d x\right)\right) \\
& \left.u_{2} \in C\left([0, T]: H^{4}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L^{2}\left(|x|^{2} d x\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{1}(x, 0)-u_{2}(x, 0)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{01}(x)-u_{02}(x)\right|^{2} d x<\infty \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$
I22

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right|^{2} d x \leq c^{* *} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{* *}=c^{* *}\left(a_{0} ;\left\|u_{01}\right\|_{8,2} ;\left\|u_{02}\right\|_{4,2} ;\left\|x^{2} u_{01}\right\|_{2} ;\left\|x u_{02}\right\|_{2} ; \Lambda ; \varepsilon ; T\right)$ and

$$
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+k a_{0}^{4} t}} \quad \text { with } \quad k=k(\varepsilon)=\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)}\right)
$$

## Remarks.

(i) Our method of proof is based on weighted energy estimates for which one needs that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{3} u \in L^{1}\left([-T, T]: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Strichartz estimates it was shown in [16] that (1.25) holds for solutions corresponding to datum $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s>9 / 4$, (see section 2.5 in [16]). However, to obtain some interpolation inequalities needed in the proof and to simplify the exposition we shall assume that $u_{0} \in H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$.
(ii) In the case when the local solutions extend to global ones, for example for the case of the model described in (1.3) for which the solutions satisfy infinitely many conservation laws, the result in Theorem 1.1 holds in any time interval $[0, T]$.
(iii) In the statement of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 below we did not intend to optimize the hypothesis on the regularity and decay of the data.

Our next results generalize those in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to the following class of polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=Q_{0}\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u\right) \partial_{x}^{3} u+Q_{1}\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u\right) \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
Q_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq|\alpha| \leq N} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, N \geq 1, a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
Q_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sum_{2 \leq|\alpha| \leq M} b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, \quad M \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, M \geq 2, \quad b_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Notice that all the nonlinearities in the models previously discussed belong to this class. For further discussion on the form of the polynomial $P(\cdot)$ in (1.1)-(1.2) see remark (iv) after the statements of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

## theorem3

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in H^{10}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} d x\right) \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unique solution $u(\cdot)$ of the IVP associated to the equation (1.1) with $P(\cdot)$ as in (1.26)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T]: H^{10}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap \ldots \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}}|u(x, t)|^{2} d x \leq c^{*}=c^{*}\left(a_{0} ;\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{10,2} ;\left\|\langle x\rangle^{4} u_{0}\right\|_{2} ;\left\|e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0}\right\|_{2} ; T\right) \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+k a_{0}^{4} t}} \quad \text { with } \quad k=11 \frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

theorem4 Theorem 1.4. Let $a_{0}$ be a positive constant. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be solutions of the IVP associated to the equation (1.1) with $P(\cdot)$ as in (1.26) in the class

$$
u_{1}, u_{2} \in C\left([0, T]: H^{10}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\langle x\rangle^{4} d x\right)\right) \cap \ldots
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{1}(x, 0)-u_{2}(x, 0)\right|^{2} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{01}(x)-u_{02}(x)\right|^{2} d x<\infty \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}}\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right|^{2} d x \leq c^{* *} \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{* *}=c^{* *}\left(a_{0} ; \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\left\|u_{0 j}\right\|_{10,2}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{4} u_{0 j}\right\|_{2} ; \Lambda ; \varepsilon ; T\right)\right.$ and

$$
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+k a_{0}^{4} t}} \quad \text { with } \quad k=k(\varepsilon)=\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)}\right)
$$

## Remarks.

(i) The hypotheses on the regularity of the initial data (and consequently on the solutions) in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are not sharp. They depend on the structure of the polynomial under consideration. However as written they apply to any polynomial $P(\cdot)$ in the class described in (1.26).
(ii) Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 tell us that solutions of the equation (1.1) with $P(\cdot)$ as in (1.2) decay accordingly to that of the fundamental solution $K_{2}(\cdot)$ described in (1.14) with $j=2$.
(iii) Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 suggest that the results in [4] described in (1.9) should hold with $5 / 4$ instead of $4 / 3$ in the exponent for any polynomial in (1.2). However this remains as an open problem.
(iv) We recall that in [17] a local existence theory in weighted Sobolev spaces $\left(H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \cap\right.$ $L^{2}\left(\langle x\rangle^{2 j} d x\right)$ with $s>4 j$, s large enough) for the IVP associated to the equation (1.1) with a general polynomial $P(\cdot)$ as in (1.2) was established. This involves the use of a gauge transformation which transforms the equation (1.1) into an equivalent system. So one can ask if our argument presented here in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 extends to this general case. In this case, however, this general result requires (decay and regularity) hypotheses involving the data (Theorem 1.3) or the solutions (Theorem 1.4) as well as some some of their derivatives. Also in this case the constant function a $(t)$ described in (1.22) may be smaller (i.e. weaker decay).
(v) Concerning the existence of the solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in the class described in Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 we recall the result in [17] . The fact that the operator $\Gamma=x+5 t \partial_{x}^{4}$ commutes with $L=\partial_{t}-\partial_{x}^{5}$, and the "identity"

$$
|x|^{\alpha} W(t) u_{0}=W(t)|x|^{\alpha} u_{0}+W(t)\left\{\Phi_{a, t, \alpha}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right)(\xi)\right\}^{\vee}(x)
$$

which holds for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, where

$$
\left\|\left\{\Phi_{t, \alpha}\left(\widehat{u}_{0}\right)(\xi)\right\}^{\vee}\right\|_{2} \leq c(1+|t|)\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\left\|D^{4 \alpha} u_{0}\right\|_{2}\right)
$$

and $W(t)$ denotes the unitary group associated to the linear equation in (1.1) (see [10]), imply in particular that in order to control $x^{\alpha}$ decay in the $L^{2}$ norm one needs at least to have $D_{x}^{4 \alpha}$ derivatives in $L^{2}$. Thus combining these ideas the result of existence of the solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ in the class described follows.

In the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 we need an intermediate decay result concerning the solutions of the IVP. More precisely, in [12] T. Kato showed that $H^{2}$-solutions $u$ of the generalized KdV defined in the time interval $[0, T]$

$$
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u+u^{k} \partial_{x} u=0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

with data $u_{0} \in L^{2}\left(e^{\beta x} d x\right), \beta>0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\beta x} u \in C\left([0, T]: L^{2}\left(e^{\beta x} d x\right)\right) \cap C\left((0, T): H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Roughly in the linear case this follows from his observation that if $u$ is solution of

$$
\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}^{3} u=0
$$

and $v(x, t)=e^{\beta x} u(x, t)$, then

$$
\partial_{t} v+\left(\partial_{x}-\beta\right)^{3} v=0
$$

So our next result, which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 extends Kato's result to solutions of the IVP (1.1) with $P(\cdot)$ as in (1.26).
theorem5 Theorem 1.5. Let $u \in C\left([0, T]: H^{6}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ be a solution of the IVP for the equation (1.1) with $P$ as in (1.26), corresponding to data $u_{0} \in H^{6}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{\beta x} d x\right), \beta>0$. Then

$$
e^{\beta x} u \in C\left([0, T]: L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap C\left((0, T): H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|e^{\beta x} u(t)\right\|_{2} \leq c\left\|e^{\beta x} u_{0}\right\|_{2}, \quad t \in[0, T] .
$$

We recall that although this is a subclass of the previously considered in Theorems 1.11.4 , this class includes all models previously discussed. The restriction appears in our wish to use Kato's approach. In fact by using the idea developed in the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.4 one can extend the result in Theorem 1.5 to the whole class in (1.2).

Also the hypotheses in Theorem $1.5, u_{0} \in H^{6}(\mathbb{R})$ can be significantly lower once a particular form of the polynomial $P$ in $(1.26)$ is considered.

The paper is organized as follows. The construction of the weights to put forward the theory will be given in Section 1. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 will be presented in Section 3. In Section 4, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 will be proven. Finally, the proof of the extension of Kato's result will be detailed in Section 5.

## 2. Construction of weights

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u=F(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formally, we perform (weighted) energy estimates in the equation (2.1), i.e., we multiply (2.1) by $u \phi_{N}$, with $\phi_{N}=\phi_{N}(x, t)$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and integrate the result in the space variable. Thus after several integration by parts one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x & -\int u^{2} \partial_{t} \phi_{N} d x+5 \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& -5 \int\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} d x+\int u^{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N} d x=2 \int F u \phi_{N} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-5 \int\left(\partial_{x} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} d x=5 \int u \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} d x-\frac{5}{2} \int u^{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities we have that for any $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
5\left|\int u \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} d x\right| & \leq 5\left(\int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int u^{2} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq(5-\varepsilon) \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \int u^{2} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} d x \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(we remark that the integral above are taken on the set where $\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}$ does not vanish. We will show that $\partial_{x} \phi_{N}$ does not vanish in the support of $\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}$ ). Then, from (2.2)-(2.4) it follows that for any $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x-\int u^{2} \partial_{t} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& -\frac{3}{2} \int u^{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N} d x-\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \int u^{2} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} d x  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq 2 \int F u \phi_{N} d x
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. for $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& \leq \int u^{2}\left(\partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}}\right) d x  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad+2 \int u F \phi_{N} d x
\end{align*}
$$

We shall use the inequality (2.6) with $0<\varepsilon \ll 1$. Then in order to simplify the proof we shall carry the details in the case $\varepsilon=0$ and remark that all the estimates involving the coefficient $25 / 4(5-\varepsilon)$ are strict inequalities which also proves their extension to $\varepsilon>0$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

We shall construct a sequence of weights $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ which will be a key ingredient in the proof of our main theorems.
theoremA Theorem 2.1. Given $a_{0}>0$ and $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\phi_{\varepsilon, N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \equiv$ $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ of functions with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}: \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$
(i) $\phi_{N} \in C^{4}(\mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty))$ with $\partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N} \phi(\cdot, t)$ having a jump discontinuity at $x=N$.
(ii) $\phi_{N}(x, t)>0 \quad$ for all $\quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$.
(iii) $\partial_{x} \phi_{N}(x, t) \geq 0 \quad$ for all $\quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$.
(iv) There exist constants $c_{N}=c(N)>0$ and $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}(x, t) \leq c_{N} c_{0}\left\langle x_{+}\right\rangle^{4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{+}=\max \{0 ; x\}, \quad\langle x\rangle=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) For $T>0$ there is $N_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}(x, 0) \leq e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} \quad \text { if } \quad N \geq N_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\lim _{N \uparrow \infty} \phi_{N}(x, t)=e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}}
$$

for any $t>0$ and $x \in(-\infty, 0) \cap(1, \infty)$ where

$$
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+k a_{0}^{4} t}} \quad \text { with } \quad k=k(\varepsilon)=\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)}\right)
$$

(vi) There exists a constant $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} \leq c_{0} \phi_{N} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$.
(vii) There exist constants $c_{j}=c_{j}\left(j ; a_{0}\right)>0, j=1,2, \ldots, 5$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{j} \phi_{N}(x, t)\right| \leq c_{j}\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \phi_{N}(x, t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem (2.1)
Given $a_{0}>0$, for $N \in Z^{+}$we define

$$
\phi_{N}(x, t)= \begin{cases}e^{a(t) \varphi(x)}, & -\infty<x \leq 1,  \tag{2.13}\\ e^{a(t) x^{5 / 4}}, & 1 \leq x \leq N \\ P_{N}(x, t), & x \geq N\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+4 k a_{0}^{4} t}} \leq a_{0}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{0}$ being the initial parameter and $k=k(\varepsilon)>1$ is a constant whose precise value will be deduced below,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=(1-\eta(x)) x_{+}^{5}+\eta(x) x^{5 / 4}, \quad x_{+}=\max \{x ; 0\} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(-\infty, 1]$ where $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \eta^{\prime} \geq 0$ and
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$$
\eta(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \leq 1 / 2  \tag{2.16}\\ 1, & x \geq 3 / 4\end{cases}
$$

(i.e. for each $x \in[0,1] \varphi(x)$ is a convex combination of $x^{5}$ and $x^{5 / 4}$ ) and $P_{N}(x, t)$ is a polynomial of order 4 in $x$ which matches the value of $e^{a(t) x^{5 / 4}}$ and its derivatives up to
order 4 at $x=N$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{N}(x, t)= \\
& \quad\left\{1+\frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}(x-N)+\frac{5}{4^{2}}\left(5 a^{2} N^{2 / 4}+a N^{-3 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{2}}{2}\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{5}{4^{3}}\left(25 a^{3} N^{3 / 4}+15 a^{2} N^{-2 / 4}-3 a N^{-7 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{3}}{3!}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{5}{4^{4}}\left(125 a^{4} N+150 a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}-45 a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+21 a N^{-11 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{4}}{4!}\right\} e^{a N^{5 / 4}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $a=a(t)$ as in (2.14).
Thus to prove (2.8)-(2.12) (i)-(vii) we consider the intervals $(-\infty, 0],[0,1],[1, N]$ and $[N, \infty)$.
$\underline{\text { The interval }(-\infty, 0] \text { : In this case }}$

$$
\phi_{N}(x, t)=e^{a(t) \cdot 0}=1
$$

which clearly satisfies (2.8) (i)-(vii).

The interval $[0,1]$ : In this case

$$
\phi_{N}(x, t)=e^{a(t) \varphi(x)}
$$

with

$$
\varphi(x)=(1-\eta(x)) x^{5}+\eta(x) x^{5 / 4} \geq 0, \quad x \in[0,1]
$$

with $\eta$ as in (2.16). Since in this interval $x^{5 / 4} \geq x^{5}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (2.18) } \begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(x)=(1-\eta(x)) 5 x^{4}+\eta(x) \frac{5}{4} x^{1 / 4}+\eta^{\prime}(x)\left(x^{5 / 4}-x^{5}\right) \\
& \geq(1-\eta(x)) 5 x^{4}+\eta(x) \frac{5}{4} x^{1 / 4} \geq 0
\end{aligned}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \text { and there exist } c_{j}>0, j=0,1, \ldots, 5 \text { such that }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{(j)}(x) \leq c_{j}, \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { one has } \quad a(t) \leq a_{0} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{j} \phi_{N}(x, t) \leq c\left(j ; a_{0}\right) \phi_{N}(x, t), \quad x \in[0,1], t \geq 0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \phi_{N}(x, t)=a^{\prime}(t) \varphi(x) \phi_{N}(x, t) \leq 0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we want to show that in this interval there exists $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}(x, t)\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}(x, t)} \leq c_{0} \phi_{N}(x, t) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}(x, t)\right)^{2} \leq c_{0} \phi_{N}(x, t) \partial_{x} \phi_{N}(x, t) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x} \phi_{N} & =a \varphi^{\prime} \phi_{N} \\
\partial_{x}^{2} \phi_{N} & =\left(a \varphi^{(2)}+\left(a \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \phi_{N}, \\
\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} & =\left(a \varphi^{(3)}+3 a^{2} \varphi^{(2)} \varphi^{\prime}+\left(a \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right) \phi_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has that for $x \sim 0 \quad(x \geq 0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x} \phi_{N} & \sim a 5 x^{4} \phi_{N} \\
\partial_{x}^{2} \phi_{N} & \sim\left(a 20 x^{3}+a^{2} 25 x^{8}\right) \phi_{N} \\
\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N} & \sim\left(a 60 x^{2}+3 a^{2} 100 x^{7}+a^{3} 125 x^{12}\right) \phi_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $x \sim 0(x \geq 0)$

$$
\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2} \leq c\left(a+a^{3}\right)^{2} x^{4} \phi_{N}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\phi_{N} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} \geq 5 a x^{4} \phi_{N}^{2}
$$

Using (2.20) (i.e. $a(t) \leq a_{0}$ for $t \geq 0$ ) it follows that there exists $\delta>0$ and a universal constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2} \leq c\left(a_{0}+a_{0}^{5}\right) \phi_{N} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0, \delta), t \geq 0 . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the interval $[\delta, 1]$ is easy to see that (2.25) still holds (with a possible large $c>0$ ). Combining the above estimates we see that (2.8) (i)-(vii) hold in this interval.

The interval $[1, N]$ : In this region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}(x, t)=e^{a(t) x^{5 / 4}}, \quad x \in[1, N], t \geq 0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We calculate
(2.27) $\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}=\frac{5}{4^{3}}\left(25 a^{3} x^{3 / 4}+15 a^{2} x^{-2 / 4}-3 a x^{-7 / 4}\right) \phi_{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{x}^{4} \phi_{N}=\frac{5}{4^{4}}\left(125 a^{4} x+150 a^{3} x^{-1 / 4}-45 a^{2} x^{-6 / 4}+21 a x^{-11 / 4}\right) \phi_{N} \\
& \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(625 a^{5} x^{5 / 4}+1250 a^{4}-375 a^{3} x^{-5 / 4}+375 a^{2} x^{-10 / 4}-231 a x^{-15 / 4}\right) \phi_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi_{N}, \partial_{x} \phi_{N}>0$ and
(2.28) $\frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(625 a^{5} x^{5 / 4}+750 a^{4}+75 a^{3} x^{-5 / 4}-90 a^{2} x^{-10 / 4}+9 a x^{-15 / 4}\right) \phi_{N}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{5}{4} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}}=  \tag{2.29}\\
& \quad=\left\{a^{\prime} x^{5 / 4}+k a^{5} x^{5 / 4}+c_{4} a^{4}+c_{3} a^{3} x^{-5 / 4}+c_{2} a^{2} x^{-10 / 4}+c_{1} a x^{-15 / 4}\right\} \phi_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

with
(a) $k=\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{5}{4}\right)>1$
(b) $c_{4}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2} 1250+\frac{5}{4} 750\right)>0$
(c) $\quad c_{3}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}(-375)+\frac{5}{4} 75\right)<0$
(d) $c_{2}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}(375)+\frac{5}{4}(-90)\right)>0$
(e) $c_{1}=\frac{5}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}(-231)+\frac{5}{4} 9\right)<0$.

Notice that if we change the coefficient $5 / 4$ in (2.29) by $25 / 4(5-\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$, the factor $5 / 4$ in (2.30) (a)-(e) changes in a similar manner, and the value of $k$ in (2.30) (a) will increase to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\varepsilon)=\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)}\right)>1 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ remain with the same sign, uniformly bounded in $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$, and as we shall see below, the exact values of $c_{j}$ 's, $j=1,2,3,4$, are not relevant in the discussion below.

Next we solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(t)=-k a^{5}(t) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which eliminates the terms with power $5 / 4$ on the right hand side of (2.29). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{a_{0}}{\sqrt[4]{1+4 k a_{0}^{4} t}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{5}{4} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} \leq c_{0} \phi_{N} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ from (2.30) it suffices to see that for $x \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{4} a^{4}+c_{3} a^{3} x^{-5 / 4}+c_{2} a^{2} x^{-10 / 4}+c_{1} a x^{-15 / 4} \leq c_{0} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a(t)=a \leq a_{0}, c_{1}, c_{3} \leq 0$, and $x \geq 1$ one just needs to take $c_{0}$ such that

$$
c_{4} a_{0}^{4}+c_{2} a_{0}^{2} \leq c_{0}
$$

Next, from (2.27)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{x} \phi_{N}=\frac{5}{4} a x^{1 / 4} \phi_{N} \leq c a_{0}\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \phi_{N} \\
& \partial_{x}^{2} \phi_{N} \leq c\left(a_{0}^{2}+a_{0}\right)\langle x\rangle^{1 / 2} \phi_{N}  \tag{2.36}\\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N} \leq c\left(a_{0}^{5}+a_{0}\right)\langle x\rangle^{5 / 4} \phi_{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}(x, t)=e^{a(t) x^{5 / 4}} \leq e^{a_{0} N^{5 / 4}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0, x \in[1, N] \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of (2.8) (i)-(vi) in this interval.

The interval $[N, \infty)$ : In this region
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{N}(x, t)=P_{N}(x, t)=\left\{1+\frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}(x-N)\right. \\
& +\frac{5}{4^{2}}\left(\frac{5}{2} a^{2} N^{2 / 4}+\frac{1}{2} a N^{-3 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{2}  \tag{2.38}\\
& +\frac{5}{4^{3}}\left(\frac{25}{6} a^{3} N^{3 / 4}+\frac{15}{6} a^{2} N^{-2 / 4}-\frac{3}{6} a N^{-7 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{3} \\
& \left.+\frac{5}{4^{4}}\left(\frac{125}{24} a^{4} N^{4 / 4}+\frac{150}{24} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}-\frac{45}{24} a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+\frac{21}{24} a N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{4}\right\} e^{a N^{5 / 4}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $a=a(t)$ as in (2.33).
First we shall show that the negative coefficients of $(x-N)^{3}$, i.e. $-15 a N^{-7 / 4} / 384$ and of $(x-N)^{4}$, i.e. $-225 a^{2} N^{-6 / 4} / 6144$ can be controlled by the other ones. More precisely, we shall see that there exists a universal constant $c>0$ such that
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{5}{4^{4}}\left(\frac{150}{24} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}-\frac{45}{24} a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+\frac{21}{24} a N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{4} \\
& -\frac{5}{4^{3}} \frac{3}{6} a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3}+\frac{5}{4^{2}} \frac{1}{2} a N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)^{2} \equiv R_{N}(x, t)  \tag{2.39}\\
& \geq c\left\{\left(a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+a N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{4}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3}+a N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} R_{N}(x, t) \geq c\left\{\left(a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+a N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{3}\right. \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{2}+a N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)\right\}
$$

and
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial_{t} R_{N}(x, t)}{a^{\prime}(t)} \geq c\left\{\left(a^{2} N^{-1 / 4}+a N^{-6 / 4}+N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)^{4}\right.  \tag{2.41}\\
&\left.+N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3}+N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Once (2.39)-(2.41) have been established it follows that there exists $c>0$ such that for $x \geq N$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{N}(x, t) \geq & c\left\{1+a N^{1 / 4}(x-N)+\left(a^{2} N^{2 / 4}+a N^{-3 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{2}}{2!}\right. \\
& +\left(a^{3} N^{3 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-2 / 4}+a N^{-7 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{3}}{3!}  \tag{2.42}\\
& \left.+\left(a^{4} N^{4 / 4}+a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+a N^{-11 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{4}}{4!}\right\} e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \\
& \geq c e^{a N^{5 / 4}}>0
\end{align*}
$$

(which proves (2.8) (ii) in this interval).
From (2.38)-(2.40) it can also be seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{x} P_{N}(x, t) \geq & \left\{\frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}+\frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}} a^{2} N^{2 / 4}(x-N)\right.  \tag{2.43}\\
& \left.+\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} \frac{a^{3} N^{3 / 4}}{2}(x-N)^{2}+\frac{5^{4}}{4^{4}} \frac{a^{4} N^{4 / 4}}{6}(x-N)^{3}\right\} e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

(which proves (2.8) (iii) in this interval) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} P_{N}(x, t)=a^{\prime}(t) S_{N}(x, t) e^{a N^{5 / 4}}+a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} P_{N}(x, t), \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
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$$
\begin{align*}
S_{N}(x, t) \geq & c\left\{N^{1 / 4}(x-N)+\left(a N^{2 / 4}+N^{-3 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{2}}{2!}\right. \\
& +\left(a^{2} N^{3 / 4}+a N^{-2 / 4}+a N^{-7 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{3}}{3!}  \tag{2.45}\\
& \left.+\left(a^{3} N^{4 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-1 / 4}+a N^{-6 / 4}+a N^{-11 / 4}\right) \frac{(x-N)^{4}}{4!}\right\} \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of (2.39) and (2.40) are similar, so we restrict ourselves to present the details of that for (2.39).

First we observe that for any $\alpha>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}=\alpha a^{3 / 2} N^{-1 / 8} \frac{a^{1 / 2} N^{-11 / 8}}{\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{2} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+\frac{a N^{-11 / 4}}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus taking $\alpha=\sqrt{6}$ it follows that

$$
5(45+1) a^{2} N^{-6 / 4} \leq 5\left(149 a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+4 a N^{-11 / 4}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{5}{4^{4} 24}\left(150 a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}-45 a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+21 a N^{-11 / 4}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{5}{4^{4} 24}\left(a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}+a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+17 a N^{-11 / 4}\right) \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

This takes care of the term with coefficient $-45 \cdot 5 a^{2} N^{-6 / 4} /\left(4^{4} \cdot 24\right)$ in $(x-N)^{4}$, see (2.42). To handle the term $-15 a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3} /\left(4^{3} \cdot 6\right)$ we write

$$
\begin{align*}
N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3} & =\alpha N^{-3 / 8}(x-N) \frac{N^{-11 / 8}(x-N)^{2}}{\alpha}  \tag{2.49}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{2} N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)^{2}+\frac{N^{-11 / 4}(x-N)^{4}}{\alpha^{2}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\alpha>0$, and so taking $\alpha=\sqrt{6}$ one has that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{15+1}{4^{3} \cdot 6} a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3} & =\frac{1}{24} a N^{-7 / 4}(x-N)^{3}  \tag{2.50}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{8} a N^{-3 / 4}(x-N)^{2}+\frac{80}{4^{4} \cdot 24} a N^{-11 / 4}(x-N)^{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting the above estimates we obtain (2.39).
Next we shall show that there exists $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)>0$ (independent of $N$ ) such that if $x \geq N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}} \leq c_{0} \phi_{N} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in this region reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)}\left(\partial_{x}^{3} P_{N}\right)^{2} \leq c_{0} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$. As we have done before we first consider the case $\varepsilon=0$.

Thus we have
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{5}{4}\left(\partial_{x}^{3} P_{N}\right)^{2}=\frac{5}{4}\left\{\left(\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{3 / 4}+\frac{75}{4^{3}} a^{2} N^{-2 / 4}-\frac{15}{4^{3}} a N^{-7 / 4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{5^{4}}{4^{4}} a^{4} N^{4 / 4}+\frac{750}{4^{4}} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}-\frac{225}{4^{4}} a^{2} N^{-6 / 4}+\frac{105}{4^{4}} a N^{-11 / 4}\right)(x-N)\right\}^{2} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
$$

since, $a^{\prime}(t)<0$, by (2.44) and (2.42)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} P_{N} \leq a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} P_{N} \leq a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.43)

$$
\partial_{t} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N} \leq a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} \times
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}+\frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}} a^{2} N^{2 / 4}(x-N)+\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} \frac{N^{3 / 4}}{2}(x-N)^{2}\right\} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we shall use $\partial_{t} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}$ to control the terms in (2.53) involving the highest power in $N$. (Notice that we only handle the positive terms in (2.53)).

Thus using (2.30)-(2.32) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{5}{4} \frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{6} N^{6 / 4}+a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} \frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}=a N^{6 / 4} \frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}+a^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{2.56}\\
& =a N^{6 / 4} \frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}-k a^{5}\right)=a^{6} N^{6 / 4}\left(\frac{5}{4} \frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}}-\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{4 \cdot 5}\right)\right)<0
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the last inequality above still holds with $25 / 4(5-\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$, instead of $25 / 4 \cdot 5$ and $5 / 4$.

Also by (2.30)-(2.32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{5}{4} 2\left(\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{3 / 4}\right)\left(\frac{5^{4}}{4^{4}} a^{4} N^{4 / 4}\right)(x-N)+a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} \frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}} a^{2} N^{2 / 4}(x-N) \\
& =a^{2} N^{7 / 4} \frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}}(x-N)\left(2 \frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}+a^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& =a^{2} N^{7 / 4} \frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}}(x-N)\left(2 \frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}-k a^{5}\right) \\
& =a^{7} N^{7 / 4} \frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}}(x-N)\left(2 \frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}}-\frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{20}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(where the remark after (2.56) also applies), and again by (2.30)-(2.32)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{5}{4} \frac{5^{8}}{4^{8}} a^{8} N^{2}(x-N)^{2}+a^{\prime}(t) N^{5 / 4} \frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} \frac{a^{3} N^{3 / 4}}{2}(x-N)^{2} \\
& =\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{2}(x-N)^{2}\left(\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}+\frac{1}{2} a^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{2.58}\\
& =\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{2}(x-N)^{2}\left(\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}} a^{5}-\frac{1}{2} k a^{5}\right) \\
& =\frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{8} N^{2}(x-N)^{2}\left(\frac{5^{6}}{4^{6}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{5^{5}}{4^{5}}\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{25}{20}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

(where the remark after (2.56) also applies).
We bound the remaining terms in (2.53) by $c_{0} \partial_{t} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}$. For that we use the fact that

$$
e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \partial_{x} P_{N} \leq P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}, \quad x \geq N
$$

Thus, from (2.43)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{5}{4} 2 \frac{5^{4}}{4^{4}} a^{4} N^{4 / 4} \frac{750}{4^{4}} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4}(x-N)^{2} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} & \leq c_{0} \frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} \frac{N^{3 / 4}}{2}(x-N)^{2} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}}  \tag{2.59}\\
& \leq c_{0} \partial_{x} P_{N} e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \leq c_{0} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}>c a_{0}^{4}, \quad c \quad \text { universal constant } \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that with this choice of $c_{0}(2.59)$ holds even when the factor $5 / 4$ in the left hand side is replaced by $25 / 4(5-\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$. Also, from (2.43)

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{5^{4}}{4^{4}} a^{4} N^{4 / 4} \frac{75}{4^{3}} a^{2} N^{-2 / 4}+\frac{750}{4^{4}} a^{3} N^{-1 / 4} \frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{3 / 4}\right)(x-N) e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}}  \tag{2.61}\\
& \leq c_{0} \frac{5^{2}}{4^{2}} a^{2} N^{2 / 4}(x-N) e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} \leq c_{0} \partial_{x} P_{N} e^{a N^{5 / 4}} \leq c_{0} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N}
\end{align*}
$$

by taking $c_{0}$ as in (2.60) (where the remark after (2.60) also applies). Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{5}{4} \frac{5^{3}}{4^{3}} a^{3} N^{3 / 4} \frac{75}{4^{3}} a^{2} N^{-2 / 4} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} \leq c_{0} \frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4} e^{2 a N^{5 / 4}} \leq c_{0} P_{N} \partial_{x} P_{N} \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

by taking $c_{0}$ as in (2.60) (and the remark after (2.60) also applies).
This handles all the terms in (2.53) having a positive coefficient and a positive power of $N$. The reminder ones having positive coefficients can be bounded by

$$
c_{0} \frac{5}{4} a N^{1 / 4}
$$

Combining the above estimates with (2.39)-(2.45) completes the proof of (2.52).
Finally (2.42) yields (2.12) in this region $x \geq N$.
To finish the proof we need to prove (v) in the region $[N, \infty$ ). We use (2.27) with $t=0$ and observe that the negative terms in the expression for $\frac{d^{5}}{d x^{5}} e^{a_{0} x^{5 / 4}}$ can be absorved by the positive terms for $x \geq N$ and $N$ sufficiently large. More precisely,

$$
1250 a_{0}^{4}>2 \cdot 375 a_{0}^{3} x^{-5 / 4} \quad \text { and } \quad 375 a_{0}^{2} N^{-10 / 4}>2 \cdot 231 a_{0} x^{-15 / 4}
$$

if $x^{5 / 4}>c / a_{0}$, where $c$ is an absolute constant. To have this for $x \geq N$, it sufficies to take $N$ in such a way that $N^{5 / 4}>c / a_{0}$. This is, $N>c^{4 / 5} a_{0}^{-4 / 5} \equiv N_{0}$.

In this way,

$$
\frac{d^{5}}{d x^{5}}\left(e^{a_{0} x^{5 / 4}}-P_{N}(x, 0)\right)=\frac{d^{5}}{d x^{5}} e^{a_{0} x^{5 / 4}} \geq 0
$$

for $x>N>N_{0}$. Since $e^{a_{0} x^{5 / 4}}$ and $P_{N}(x, 0)$ coincide at $x=N$ up to the fourth derivative, we conclude that $e^{a_{0} x^{5 / 4}} \geq P_{N}(x, 0)$ for $x \geq N \geq N_{0}$, which proves (v) in this region.

Thus we have completed the proof of (2.7) (i)-(vii), (2.8)-(2.12).
corollaryA Corollary 2.2. There exists $\widetilde{c}_{0}=\widetilde{c}_{0}\left(a_{0} ; T\right)>0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$sufficiently large, $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{N}(x, t) \leq \widetilde{c}_{0}\left(1+\langle x\rangle \partial_{x} \phi_{N}(x, t)\right) . \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from the construction of the weight $\phi_{N}$.

## 3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

## Proof of Theorem 1.1

Using the result in Theorem 1.5 (and the remark afterwards) we have that our solution $u$ of the IVP (1.19) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{3}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{\beta x} d x\right)\right) \quad \text { for any } \beta>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by interpolation one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{j} u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{3-j}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(e^{(4-j) \beta x / 4} d x\right)\right) \quad j=0,1,2,3 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\langle x\rangle^{k} d x\right)\right)$, for any $k$. Suppose first that $u$ is sufficiently regular, say $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{5}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then we can perform energy estimates for $u$ using the weights $\left\{\phi_{N}\right\}$ (since $\phi_{N} \leq c\langle x\rangle^{4}$ ). Thus, we multiply the equation in (1.19) by $u \phi_{N}$ and integrate by parts in the space variable to obtain

$$
\int \partial_{t} u u \phi_{N}-\int \partial_{x}^{5} u u \phi_{N}+b_{1} \int u \partial_{x}^{3} u u \phi_{N}+b_{2} \int \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u u \phi_{N}+b_{3} \int u^{2} \partial_{x} u u \phi_{N}=0
$$

and applying (2.6) and (2.11) we have

$$
2\left(\int \partial_{t} u u \phi_{N} d x-\int \partial_{x}^{5} u u \phi_{N} d x\right) \geq \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int u^{2}\left(\partial_{t} \phi_{N}+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \phi_{N}+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \phi_{N}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \phi_{N}}\right) d x \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x-c_{0} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

with $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$, and $c_{0}=c_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)$. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will only use (3.4) with $\varepsilon=0$.

Now we shall handle the third, fourth and fifth terms on the right hand of (3.3). Thus we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u \partial_{x}^{3} u u \phi_{N} d x \leq c\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{2} u u \phi_{N} d x & =-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u u^{2} \phi_{N} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{2} u u^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x  \tag{3.6}\\
& \equiv E_{1}+E_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall hat the ten $\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u(t)\right\|_{\infty}$ is integrable in the time interval $[-T, T]$, (see remark (i) after the statement of Theorem 1.2).

The bound for $E_{1}$ is similar to that in (3.5). To control $E_{2}$ we recall that (see (2.12))

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \partial_{x} \phi_{N}(x, t) \leq c_{1}\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \phi_{N}(x, t) \leq c\left(1+e^{x}\right) \phi_{N}(x, t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
E_{2} \leq c\left(\left\|e^{x} \partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{\infty}\right) \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

Notice that by combining Sobolev embedding and (3.2) one has that $\int_{0}^{T}\left\|e^{x} \partial_{x}^{2} u\right\|_{\infty}(t) d t$ is finite.

Finally for the fifth term in (3.3) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u^{2} \partial_{x} u u \phi_{N} d x \leq\left\|u \partial_{x} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting the above information, from (3.4) we can conclude that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x \leq M(t) \int u^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x
$$

with $M(t) \in L^{\infty}([0, T])$, where $M(\cdot)$ depends on $a_{0} ;\left\|e^{x} u_{0}\right\|_{2} ;\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{3,2}$. Hence, from property (v) in (2.10), and Gronwall's Lemma we see that for $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int u^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x & \leq c\left(\int u_{0}^{2}(x) \phi_{N}(x, 0) d x\right) e^{\int_{0}^{T} M\left(t^{\prime}\right) d t^{\prime}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq c\left(a_{0},\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0}^{2} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0}\right\|_{2},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{3,2}, T\right) \int u_{0}^{2}(x) e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we will establish (3.9) for our less regular solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. To do that, we consider the IVP (1.19) with regularized initial data $u_{0, \delta}:=\rho_{\delta} * u(\cdot+\delta, 0)$, where $\delta>0, \rho_{\delta}=\frac{1}{\delta} \rho(\dot{\delta}), \rho \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is supported in $(-1,1)$, and $\int \rho=1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0, \delta} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } H^{3}(\mathbb{R}) \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the well-posedness result in [16] for the IVP (1.19) in $H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$, the corresponding solutions $u_{\delta}$ satisfy $u_{\delta}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $H^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ uniformly for $t \in[0, T]$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. In particular, by Sobolev embeddings, for fixed $t$

$$
u_{\delta}(x, t) \rightarrow u(x, t) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Also, it can be proved (see Theorem 1.1 in [9]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0, \delta}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0}\right\|_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{\delta}$ is sufficiently regular we have (3.9) with $u_{\delta}$ and $u_{0, \delta}$ instead of $u$ and $u_{0}$. In this way, for $t$ fixed, using (3.10)-(3.12), and applying Fatou's Lemma we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x \leq C\left(a_{0},\left\|e^{\frac{1}{2} a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} u_{0}\right\|_{2},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{3,2}, T\right) \int u_{0}^{2}(x) e^{a_{0} x_{+}^{5 / 4}} d x \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we make $N \rightarrow \infty$ and apply property (v) in Theorem 2.1 and Fatou's Lemma again to obtain

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} \int u^{2}(x, t) e^{a(t) x_{+}^{5 / 4}} d x \leq c *
$$

which is the desired result.

## Proof of Theorem 1.2

We consider the equation for the difference of the two solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t)=\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)(x, t) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} w-\partial_{x}^{5} w= & -b_{1}\left(u_{1} \partial_{x}^{3} w+\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} w\right)-b_{2}\left(\partial_{x} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w+\partial_{x}^{2} u_{2} \partial_{x} w\right) \\
& -b_{3}\left(\partial_{x} u_{2}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right) w+u_{1}^{2} \partial_{x} w\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We follow the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.1 with $\varepsilon \in[0,1], \varepsilon \ll 1$. Hence we multiply (3.15) by $w \phi_{N}$ and integrate in the variable $x$ and use that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u_{1} \partial_{x}^{3} w w \phi_{N} d x=\frac{1}{2} \int u_{1} \phi_{N} \partial_{x}^{3}\left(w^{2}\right) d x-3 \int u_{1} \phi_{N} \partial_{x} w \partial_{x}^{2} w d x \equiv F_{1}+F_{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{3}\left(u_{1} \phi_{N}\right) w^{2} d x \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using (2.12) it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 3.14  \tag{3.18}\\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left|F_{1}\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{3}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \partial_{x}^{3-j} u_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

and after some integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{2}=-\frac{3}{2} \int u_{1} \phi_{N} \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x} w\right)^{2} d x & =-\frac{3}{2} \int \partial_{x}\left(u_{1} \phi_{N}\right) w \partial_{x}^{2} w d x+\frac{3}{4} \int \partial_{x}^{3}\left(u_{1} \phi_{N}\right) w^{2} d x  \tag{3.19}\\
& \equiv F_{2}^{1}+F_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that the same bound for $F_{1}$ given in (3.18) applies to $F_{2}^{2}$. For $F_{2}^{1}$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{1}=-\frac{3}{2} \int \partial_{x} u_{1} \phi_{N} w \partial_{x}^{2} w d x-\frac{3}{2} \int u_{1} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} w \partial_{x}^{2} w d x \equiv F_{2}^{1,1}+F_{2}^{1,2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|F_{2}^{1,2}\right| & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\frac{4}{\varepsilon} \int u_{1}^{2} w^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{1}\langle x\rangle^{1 / 8}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
\end{align*}
$$

using (2.12) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{2}^{1,1}\right| & \leq c_{0} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1}\left(1+\langle x\rangle \partial_{x} \phi_{N}\right) w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| d x \\
& =c_{0} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1} w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| d x+c_{0} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1}\langle x\rangle w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& \leq c_{0} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1} w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\frac{c_{0}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1}\right|^{2}\langle x\rangle^{2} w^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& \leq c_{0} \int\left|\partial_{x} u_{1} w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| d x+\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1+1 / 8} \partial_{x} u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by using Corollary 2.2 (2.63) and (2.12).
Directly one has that

$$
\int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

The estimate for the term

$$
\int \partial_{x} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w w \phi_{N} d x
$$

is similar to that given above for $F_{2}^{1,1}$.
Similarly, we have that
$\int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{2} \partial_{x} w w \phi_{N} d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u_{2} \phi_{N}\right) w^{2} d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} w^{2} d x$
with

$$
\left|\int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} w^{2} d x\right| \leq \int\left|\partial_{x}^{2} u_{2}\right|\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \phi_{N} w^{2} d x \leq\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

and

$$
\left|\int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x\right| \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

Finally, the terms

$$
\int \partial_{x} u_{2}\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right) w^{2} \phi_{n} d x+\int u_{1}^{2} \partial_{x} w w \phi_{N} d x
$$

can be handled analogously.
Thus combining the inequalities

$$
\int \partial_{t} w w \phi_{N} d x-\int \partial_{x}^{5} w w \phi_{N} d x \geq 2 \frac{d}{d t} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x-c_{0} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

(see (3.4)),

$$
\int\left|\partial_{x} u_{2} w \partial_{x}^{2} w\right| d x \leq\left\|\partial_{x} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\|w\|_{2}\left\|\partial_{x}^{2} w\right\|_{2} \equiv L(t)
$$

and the above estimates we have that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int w^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x \leq M(t) \int w^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x+L(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(t)= & c(\varepsilon)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{3}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \partial_{x}^{3-j} u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1+1 / 8} \partial_{x} u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \partial_{x}^{2} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\partial_{x} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M, L \in L^{\infty}([0, T])$. Therefore

$$
\sup _{[0, T]} \int w^{2}(x, t) \phi_{N}(x, t) d x \leq c\left(\int w^{2}(x, 0) \phi_{N}(x, 0) d x+\int_{0}^{T} L(t) d t\right) e^{\int_{0}^{T} M(t) d t}
$$

which basically yields the desired result.

## 4. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

## Proof of Theorem 1.3

To simplify the exposition and illustrate the argument of proof we restrict ourselves to consider the most difficult case $P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=\partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u$. Thus we have the equation

$$
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+a \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u=0, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now we follow the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then we need to consider the term

$$
I=\int \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u u \phi_{N} d x
$$

By integration by parts it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{1}{20} \int \partial_{x}^{5}(u u) u \phi_{N} d x-\frac{1}{10} \int u \partial_{x}^{5} u u \phi_{N} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{4} u u \phi_{N} d x \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
I_{1}=-\frac{1}{20} \int \partial_{x}^{5}\left(u \phi_{N}\right) u^{2} d x
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{5}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \partial_{x}^{5-j} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
I_{2} \leq c\left\|\partial_{x}^{5} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

and after integration by parts

$$
I_{3} \leq\left(\left\|\partial_{x}^{5} u\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} \partial_{x}^{4} u\right\|_{\infty}\right) \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

Therefore one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{5}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \partial_{x}^{5-j} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \phi_{N} d x \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using Theorem 1.5 and interpolation one has that for $j=0,1, \ldots, 6$

$$
\sup _{[0, T]}\left\|e^{(6-j) \beta x} \partial_{x}^{j} u(t)\right\|_{2} \leq c
$$

which combined with (4.2) and Sobolev embedding yields the desired result.

## Proof of Theorem 1.4

As in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we shall consider the most significant form of the polynomial $P(\cdot)$ in (1.26), $P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=\partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u$. Thus we consider the equation

$$
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+a \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u=0, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Hence $w=u_{1}-u_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\partial_{t} w-\partial_{x}^{5} w+a \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{3} w+a \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} \partial_{x}^{2} w=0
$$

Following the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we shall estimate

$$
E_{1}=\int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{3} w w \phi_{N} d x
$$

and

$$
E_{2}=\int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} \partial_{x}^{2} w w \phi_{N} d x
$$

More precisely, we have
4.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \leq c_{0} \int w^{2} \phi_{n} d x+E_{1}+E_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To bound $E_{2}$ we use Corollary 2.2 and (2.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq & \tilde{c}_{0} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} \partial_{x}^{2} w w\left(1+\langle x\rangle \partial_{x} \phi_{N}\right) d x \\
\leq & \tilde{c}_{0} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2} \partial_{x}^{2}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x \\
& +c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right)^{2}\langle x\rangle^{2} w^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x  \tag{4.4}\\
\leq & M(t)+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right)^{2}\langle x\rangle^{2+1 / 4} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
\leq & M(t)+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{1+1 / 8} \partial_{x}^{3} u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\varepsilon^{\prime} \ll \varepsilon$.

To control $E_{1}$ we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =-\int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w w \phi_{N} d x-\int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w \partial_{x} w \phi_{N}-\int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w w \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& =E_{1}^{1}+E_{1}^{2}+E_{1}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound for $E_{1}^{1}$ is similar to the one deduced above for $E_{2}$. For $E_{1}^{3}$ we write

$$
\left|E_{1}^{3}\right| \leq \varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x} u_{1}\right)^{2} w^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x
$$

hence a bound similar to that obtained for $\left|E_{2}\right|$ applies.
Finally, to estimate $E_{1}^{2}$ we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{2}= & \int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w \partial_{x} w \phi_{N} d x=\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{1} \partial_{x} w \partial_{x} w \phi_{N} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x} w \partial_{x} w \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \int w^{2}\left[\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{4} u_{1} \phi_{N}\right)+2 \partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{3} u_{1} \partial_{x} \phi_{N}\right)+\partial_{x}\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} \phi_{N}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{3} u_{1} \partial_{x}^{2} w w \phi_{N} d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x}^{2} u_{1} w \partial_{x}^{2} w \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
= & E_{1}^{2,1}+E_{1}^{2,2}+E_{1}^{2,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (2.12) one has that

$$
\left|E_{1}^{2,1}\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{5}\left\|\langle x\rangle^{j / 4} \partial_{x}^{5-j} u_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E_{1}^{2,3}\right| & \leq \varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u_{1}\right)^{2} w^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u_{1}\right)^{2}\langle x\rangle^{1 / 4} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally an argument similar to that given in (4.4) shows that

$$
\left|E_{1}^{2,2}\right| \leq M(t)+c_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{3} u_{1}\right)^{2}\langle x\rangle^{2+1 / 4} w^{2} \phi_{N} d x+\varepsilon^{\prime} \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} w\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \phi_{N} d x
$$

Inserting these estimates in (4.3) one gets the desired result.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

## Proof of Theorem 1.5

We shall follow Kato's approach in [12] and define for $\beta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\delta}(x)=\frac{e^{\beta x}}{1+\delta e^{\beta x}} \quad \text { for } \quad \delta \in(0,1), \quad \delta \ll 1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{\delta} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\varphi_{\delta}\right\|_{\infty}=\frac{1}{\delta} \\
0 \leq \partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta}(x)=\frac{\beta e^{\beta x}}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{2}} \leq \beta \varphi_{\delta}(x)  \tag{5.3}\\
\partial_{x}^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x)=\frac{\beta^{2} e^{\beta x}\left(1-\delta e^{\beta x}\right)}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{3}} \tag{5.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

then
5.5
so
5.7

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{3} \varphi_{\delta}(x)\right| \leq 2 \beta^{3} \frac{e^{\beta x}}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{2}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{j} \varphi_{\delta}(x)\right| \leq c_{j} \beta^{j} \frac{e^{\beta x}}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{2}}, \quad j=1,2,3,4,5 \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta}} \leq 4 \beta^{5} \frac{e^{\beta x}}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{2}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3}{2}\left|\partial_{x}^{5} \varphi_{\delta}(x)\right|+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta}} \leq c_{0} \beta^{5} \frac{e^{\beta x}}{\left(1+\delta e^{\beta x}\right)^{2}} \leq c_{0} \beta^{5} \varphi_{\delta}(x) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\delta}(x) \leq \varphi_{\delta^{\prime}}(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
5.12 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\lim _{\delta \downarrow 0} \varphi_{\delta}(x)=e^{\beta x}
$$

As in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 we shall consider the most relevant case in (1.26).

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(u, \partial_{x} u, \partial_{x}^{2} u, \partial_{x}^{3} u\right)=a \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\partial_{x}^{5} u+a \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u=0 \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We employ an argument similar to that exposed in (3.5). Indeed, we multiply equation (5.14) by $u \varphi_{\delta}$ and integrate by parts. Then we use the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities and the property (5.10), to obtain the estimate
5.14 b

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(\int \partial_{t} u u \varphi_{\delta} d x-\int \partial_{x}^{5} u \varphi_{\delta} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta} d x+5 \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta} d x+5 \int u \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} \varphi_{\delta} d x-\frac{3}{2} \int u^{2} \partial_{x}^{5} \varphi_{\delta} d x \\
& \geq \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta} d x-\int u^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\left|\partial_{x}^{5} \varphi_{\delta}\right|+\frac{25}{4(5-\varepsilon)} \frac{\left(\partial_{x}^{3} \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{2}}{\partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta}}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta} d x+\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta} d x-c_{0} \beta^{5} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\varepsilon \in[0,1), \varepsilon \ll 1$ and $c_{0}>0$. With this estimate we deduced that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x & +\varepsilon \int\left(\partial_{x}^{2} u\right)^{2} \partial_{x} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x  \tag{5.16}\\
& \leq c_{0} \beta^{5} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x+\left|a \int \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u u \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Next we estimate the last term of (5.16). We integrate by parts and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \partial_{x}^{2} u \partial_{x}^{3} u u \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x & =\frac{1}{20} \int \partial_{x}^{5}\left(u^{2}\right) u \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x-\frac{1}{10} \int u \partial_{x}^{5} u u \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_{x} u \partial_{x}^{4} u u \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x \\
& =E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one has

$$
E_{1}=-\frac{1}{20} \int u^{2} \partial_{x}^{5}\left(u \varphi_{\delta}(x)\right) d x
$$

Therefore by (5.1)-(5.8)

$$
\left|E_{1}\right| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{5} \beta^{j}\left\|\partial_{x}^{5-j} u(t)\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x
$$

Also

$$
\left|E_{3}\right| \leq c\left(\left\|\partial_{x}^{5} u\right\|_{\infty}+\beta\left\|\partial_{x}^{4} u\right\|_{\infty}\right) \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x
$$

and

$$
\left|E_{2}\right| \leq\left\|\partial_{x}^{5} u\right\|_{\infty} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x
$$

Inserting these estimates in (5.16) it follows that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x \leq c_{0}\left(\beta^{5}+\sum_{j=0}^{5} \beta^{j}\left\|\partial_{x}^{5-j} u(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \int u^{2} \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{[0, T]} \int u(x, t) \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x & \leq \int u_{0}(x) \varphi_{\delta}(x) d x e^{\int_{0}^{T} N(t) d t}  \tag{5.17}\\
& \leq \int u_{0}(x) \varphi_{0}(x) d x e^{\int_{0}^{T} N(t) d t}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
N(t)=c_{0}\left(\beta^{5}+\sum_{j=0}^{5} \beta^{j}\left\|\partial_{x}^{5-j} u(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

Since the right hand side of (5.17) is independent of $\delta$ taking $\delta \downarrow 0$ we obtain the desired result.

We shall notice that in the argument above we assumed the solution sufficiently smooth to perform the integration by parts, otherwise we consider the IVP associated to the equation (5.14) with regularized initial data as was done in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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