

NAME:

SHOW YOUR WORK CLEARLY. OTHERWISE NO PARTIAL CREDIT.

1) Let V be a vector space such that $\dim(V) = n$. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Assume that $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ ($k \leq n$) are eigenvectors of T corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. Prove that $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ are linearly independent.

(#4 in the review problems + solved in class.)

We have $T(\vec{v}_\ell) = \lambda_\ell \vec{v}_\ell \quad \ell = 1, \dots, k, \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \text{ distinct.}$

By induction in k ① Case $k=2$ $T(\vec{v}_1) = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1, \quad T(\vec{v}_2) = \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2.$

Suppose ② $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$. Then applying T to it we get

$$0 = T(\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2) = \alpha_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + \alpha_2 T(\vec{v}_2) = \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$$

$$\text{so } \begin{cases} \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0} \\ \lambda_1 \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0} \end{cases}$$

multiplying the first equation above by $-\lambda_1$ and adding the result to the second one gets that

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}, \quad \text{since } \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$$

since \vec{v}_2 is an eigenvector $\vec{v}_2 \neq \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_2 = 0$

Returning to ② we have $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 0$

so \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 are L.I.

② Assuming the result for k
 (k eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues) T are L.I.)
 we shall prove it for $k+1$.

So we have that

$$\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \vec{v}_k + \alpha_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Applying T is followed that

$$\alpha_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \lambda_k \vec{v}_k + \alpha_{k+1} \lambda_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Multiplying the equation (1) by $-\lambda_{k+1}$ and adding the result to the equation (2) one gets that

$$\alpha_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}) \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \vec{v}_k = 0.$$

Now using the induction hypothesis it follows

That $\alpha_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}), \dots, \alpha_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) = 0$

since the λ_j 's are distinct ($j=1, \dots, k+1$), we see that

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k = 0. \quad \text{Then returning to the equation}$$

(1) This gives $\alpha_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{k+1} = 0$ since $\vec{v}_{k+1} \neq 0$

Therefore from (1) we deduce that $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} = 0$

So $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{k+1}$ are L.I.

2) Given the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find two (different) matrices B_1, B_2 such that

$$B_1^2 = A, \quad B_2^2 = A, \quad (\text{i.e. } B_1 = +\sqrt{A}, \quad B_2 = -\sqrt{A}).$$

Why can you do this? Explain.

(# 7 in the review problems)

First we find the eigenvalues & eigenvectors of A .

$$0 = \det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 6-\lambda & 5 \\ 3 & 4-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (6-\lambda)(4-\lambda) - 15 = \lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 9$$
$$= (\lambda - 9)(\lambda - 1) \quad \text{so } \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 9 \text{ are the eigenvalues}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ eigenvector corresponding to } \lambda_1 = 1 \quad A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 6x_1 + 5y_1 = x_1 \\ 3x_1 + 4y_1 = y_1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow x_1 + y_1 = 0 \quad \text{we take } \vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ eigenvector corresponding to } \lambda_2 = 9 \quad A \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = 9 \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 6x_2 + 5y_2 = 9x_2 \\ 3x_2 + 4y_2 = 9y_2 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow -3x_2 + 5y_2 = 0 \quad \text{we take } \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that $\det A = 9 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ Trace of $A = 10 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

$$\text{So } A \vec{v}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 \quad A \vec{v}_2 = \lambda_2 \vec{v}_2$$

$$A V = V D \quad \text{where } V = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{v}_1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{v}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\text{and } D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $A = V D V^{-1}$ we need to find V^{-1} .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & : & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & : & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & : & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & : & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & : & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & : & 1/8 & 1/8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & : & 3/8 & -5/8 \\ 0 & 1 & : & 1/8 & 1/8 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{so } V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/8 & -5/8 \\ 1/8 & 1/8 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

check $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix} = I.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } A &= V D V^{-1} = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} = V \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \\ &= V \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} V \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

hence $B_1 = \underbrace{V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}}_{}$ $B_2 = V \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$

$$= V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 15 \\ -1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 15 \\ -1 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 10 \\ 6 & 14 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$B_1 \cdot B_1 = \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{16} \begin{pmatrix} 96 & 80 \\ 48 & 64 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

We can do this (as in the real number case)

because the eigenvalues are ≥ 0 .

- 3) Let A be a 4×4 real matrix such that $A^2 \neq 0$, and for some $k > 4$, $A^k = 0$.
- Which are the possible eigenvalues of A ?
 - Is A diagonalizable? Explain.
 - For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ define

$$E_j = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4 : A^j \vec{x} = \vec{0}\}.$$

Prove that each E_j is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 and

$$\{0\} \subsetneq E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_k.$$

- d) Prove that $A^4 = 0$. (# 15 in the review list, solved in class).

(a) If λ_1, \vec{v}_1 are eigenvalue & eigenvector of A we have
 $A\vec{v}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1$ so $A^k \vec{v}_1 = \lambda_1^k \vec{v}_1$ but $A^k = 0$
 so $\lambda_1^k \vec{v}_1 = 0$ (but $\vec{v}_1 \neq 0$) $\Rightarrow \lambda_1^k = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0$.

The only possible eigenvalue of A is 0.

(b) $E_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 / A\vec{x} = 0\} = \text{null}(A) \neq \mathbb{R}^4$ (since $A^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow A \neq 0$)

so $\dim E_1 \neq 4$ no diagonalizable

There are not 4 linearly independent eigenvectors of 0.

(c) First $E_j \subset E_{j+1}$: $x \in E_j \Leftrightarrow A^j x = 0 \Rightarrow A^{j+1} x = A^j x = 0 \Rightarrow x \in E_{j+1}$.

Clearly E_j 's are subspaces $E_j = \text{null}(A^j)$.

Next since 0 is the only possible eigenvalue and the set of eigenvalues can not be empty then 0 is an eigenvalue so $\exists \vec{v}_1 \neq 0$ st $A\vec{v}_1 = 0\vec{v}_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{v}_1 \in E_1$.

① Observe that if
 " $E_j = E_{j+1} \Rightarrow E_j = E_{j+1} = \dots = E_{j+l} \quad \forall l=1,2,\dots$ "

Proof of this claim

$$[E_j = E_{j+1}] \Leftrightarrow [A^j y = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{j+1} y = 0]$$

Suppose $A^{j+2} x = 0 \Rightarrow A^{j+1} (\underbrace{Ax}_{=y}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^j (Ax) = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^{j+1} x = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^j x = 0.$$

repeating this argument

$$A^{j+3} x = A^{j+2} (Ax) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^j (Ax) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{j+1} x = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^j x = 0.$$

we get the result.

so $\dim E_1 \geq 1$, and $E_1 \subseteq E_2$

so $\dim E_1 \leq \dim E_2$: two case:

① = holds $\Rightarrow E_1 = E_2 \Rightarrow E_1 = E_2 = E_3 = E_4 = \mathbb{R}^4$
 done!

② $< \Rightarrow \dim E_2 \geq 2$

Repeat the argument 2 times more to get

That $E_4 = \mathbb{R}^4$ or $\dim E_4 = 4 \Rightarrow E_4 = \mathbb{R}^4$

Q.E.D.