

22. RESIDUES

Let f be a holomorphic function with an isolated singularity at a . Pick a small circle γ centred at a and consider the integral

$$P = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

P is called a period of f .

As the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z-a}$ has period $2\pi i$ the function

$$g(z) = f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a}, \quad \text{where} \quad R = \frac{P}{2\pi i},$$

has period zero, with respect to γ . It follows that g is the derivative of some function.

Definition 22.1. *Let f be a holomorphic function with an isolated singularity at a . The residue of f at a is the unique complex number R , so that the function*

$$g(z) = f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a},$$

for some small $0 < |z-a| < \delta$, is the derivative of another function.

It is useful to employ the following notation for the residue,

$$R = \text{Res}_{z=a} f(z).$$

Theorem 22.2. (*Residue Theorem*) *Let f be a holomorphic function on a region U , with isolated singularities at a_1, a_2, \dots . Let γ be a path in U that is homotopic to zero and does not contain any of the points a_1, a_2, \dots .*

Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f dz = \sum_j n(\gamma; a_j) \text{Res}_{z=a_j} f(z).$$

Proof. Pick small circles γ_j , centred at a_j , contained in U . Consider the path $\gamma' = \gamma - \sum n(\gamma; a_j)\gamma_j$. We want to apply Cauchy's Theorem to γ' . It suffices to check that the winding number of γ' about any complex number $a \in \mathbb{C} - (U - \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\})$ is zero. Note that the regions of $\mathbb{C} - \gamma'$ are equal to the regions of $\mathbb{C} - \gamma$, union the small discs about each a_i . Since γ is homologous to zero, the only non-zero winding numbers for γ are about a_i . By definition of γ' the winding number of γ' about a_i is zero. It follows that γ' has zero winding number about any point.

Thus by Cauchy's Theorem

$$\int_{\gamma'} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Rewriting, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_j n(\gamma; a_j) P_j,$$

where

$$P_j = \int_{\gamma_j} f(z) dz.$$

The result follows by definition of R_j . □

Of course, this Theorem is useless without an effective means of computing the residue.

Lemma 22.3. *Suppose that $f(z)$ has a pole of order one at a . Then*

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=a} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a) f(z).$$

Proof. By assumption

$$f(z) = \frac{b_{-1}}{z - a} + b_0 + b_1(z - a) + b_2(z - a)^2 + \cdots = \frac{b_{-1}}{z - a} + g(z).$$

Hence $g(z)$ is a holomorphic function. Thus by definition the residue is b_{-1} . Clearly $b_{-1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a) f(z)$. □

Of course one of the main uses of the residue Theorem is to compute contour integrals.

For example

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Look at the following contour. Let γ be the closed path, that starts at zero, goes along the real axis to R , describes a semi-circle of radius R and then traverses the x -axis from $-R$ to zero. Consider applying the Residue Theorem to $f(z) = \frac{1}{1+z^2}$. Then $f(z)$ has two isolated singularities at $z = \pm i$. The winding number of γ about the first is 1 and about the second is zero. The residue at $z = i$ can be computed in one of two ways. First observe that

$$\frac{1}{1 + z^2} = \frac{1}{(z - i)(z + i)} = \frac{1}{2i(z + i)} + \frac{1}{2i(z - i)}.$$

Thus the residue at $z = i$ is by definition $1/2i$. Alternatively take f and multiply it by $(z - i)$, to get

$$\frac{1}{z + i}.$$

At $z = i$ we get $1/2i$. Thus by the residue Theorem

$$\int \frac{1}{1+z^2} dz = \pi.$$

On the other hand the integral may be split into two parts. The integral along the real-axis from $-R$ to R and the integral along a semi-circle. Along the semi-circle,

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{R^2 - 1}$$

so that the integral along the semi-circle is at most

$$\pi \frac{R}{R^2 - 1}$$

which tends to zero as R tends to infinity. The result follows, as the function $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$ is even.

Now consider the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Consider the integral

$$\int_\gamma \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz,$$

where γ is the contour that starts at ρ goes along the x -axis to R , goes around a semi-circle counterclockwise to $-R$, goes back to $-\rho$ and traverses a semi-circle, clockwise around the origin. The only pole of the function

$$f(z) = \frac{e^i z}{z},$$

is at the origin and the winding number of γ about the origin is zero. Thus by the residue Theorem, the integral of $f(z)$ around γ is zero. We split the integral into four pieces.

$$\int_\rho^R \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx + \int_{\gamma_0} f(z) dz + \int_{-R}^{-\rho} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx + \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

The two integrals along the x -axis, when combined, give

$$\int_\rho^R \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{x} dx = 2i \int_\rho^R \frac{\sin x}{x} dx.$$

Consider the behaviour around the big semi-circle.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz \right| &= \left| \int_0^\pi e^{iRe^{i\theta}} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^\pi e^{-R\sin\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta d\theta + \int_\delta^{\pi-\delta} e^{-R\sin\delta} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

As R tends to zero, we may let δ approach zero. Thus the integral goes to zero.

Now consider the behaviour around the small semi-circle.

$$\int_\gamma \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz = \int_\gamma \frac{1}{z} dz + \int_\gamma \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{z} dz.$$

Using the Talyor series expansion of e^{iz} it is clear the second integral converges to zero as ρ approaches 1. On the other hand, by direct computation, the first integral comes out as

$$\int_\gamma \frac{1}{z} dz = \int_\pi^0 i dz = -\pi i.$$

Thus, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$2i \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx - \pi i = 0,$$

whence the result.

Finally consider

$$\int_0^\pi \log \sin \theta d\theta.$$

Consider the function

$$1 - e^{2iz} = -2ie^{iz} \sin z.$$

As

$$1 - 2e^{iz} = 1 - e^{-2y} (\cos 2x + i \sin 2x),$$

we see that this function is only real and negative, if $y < 0$ and $x = n\pi$. So if we delete these half lines, we may assume that log is single-valued and analytic.

We now integrate along the rectangle with corners, 0 , π , $\pi + iY$ and iY . At the points 0 and π we choose small arcs of circles, of radius δ , to avoid these points. By periodicity, the integrals along the vertical sides are zero. The integral along the top horizontal line goes to zero, as Y goes to infinity.

I claim that the same is true over the quadrants. The imaginary part of the logarithm is bounded, so we only need worry about the real part. Now

$$\frac{|1 - e^{2iz}|}{|z|} \rightarrow 2,$$

for $z \rightarrow 0$ so that the logarithm behaves like $\log \delta$. As $\delta \log \delta$ tends to zero, the integral tends to zero around the first quadrant. Similarly for the second quadrant.

Thus

$$\int_0^\pi \log(-2ie^{ix} \sin z) dx = 0.$$

Suppose we choose the branch of the logarithm so that

$$\log(e^{ix}) = ix.$$

Then the imaginary part lies between 0 and π . So $\log(-i) = -\pi i/2$.

Thus

$$\pi \log 2 - \pi^2 i/2 + \int_0^\pi \log \sin x dx + \pi^2/2i = 0.$$

and so

$$\int_0^\pi \log \sin x dx = -\pi \log 2.$$