Lecture 19 Recall : ifr=0, x =0 or r<0. Brop: Given ne P(IRd), m CMs (IRd), define $\mathcal{B}(\mu,m) := \sup \{ Sfd\mu + Sg \cdot dm \}$ $f \in G_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}), g \in G_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^{\alpha})$ $f + \frac{1}{2}|g|^{2} \leq 0$ Then, (i) Bluim) is convex, lsc wrt narrow convergence (ii) if $\mu, m^{<<} \omega$, ω Borel meas on \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathcal{B}(\mu,m) = Sf_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu(\kappa),m(\kappa)) d\omega(\kappa),$

where $d\mu(x) = \mu(x) d\omega(x)$, $dm(x) = m(x) d\omega(x)$

 $(iii) B(\mu,m) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int |v|^2 d\mu \right)$ if mece dm=vde $\left(+ \infty \right)$ othenwise

We now have everything we need to characterize absolutely Ocontinuous curves in (P2(IRd), W2). Dexcept the definition of what it means to be an absolutely Continuous curve!

Suppose (X,d) is a complete metric space. Deflabs cts: $(a,b) \rightarrow X$ is abs cts, dedated $x \in AC(a,b;X)$ if $g \in L^{1}(a,b) s.t$. t, $d(x(t_{o}), x(t_{o})) \leq S g(s) ds \forall a \leq t_{o} \leq t_{o} \leq b$. t_{o}

Remark: If this holds for $q(s) \equiv C$, for $C \in \mathbb{R}$, then χ is Lipschitz cts.

Rmk: if x is abscts, it is cts Del: (metric derivative): The metric derivative of x: (a,b) > X is |x'|(t):= lim d(x(t+h), x(t)) & generalizes h=>0 lh1 xIt) a curve in a vector space

Prop: For any x EAC(a,b;X), (i) 1x'llt) exists for L-a.e. t e(a,b), (ii) g(t)= lx'llt) is admissible in (+) (iii) (x'/t) = q(t) L-a.e. for all q satisfying (*). (PL): Since x: (a,b) -> X is cts and (a,b) is separable, x(a,b) is separable. Let sym3n=1 be a dense sequence, and consider dn(t) = d(yn, x(t)).

By the reverse triangle inequality, feet any choice of g in the defoir of AC, we have 64+ $|dn|t| - dn(s)| \leq df_{x}(t), x(s)| \leq s_{g}(r)dr$ Thus, t Hanlet) is abscts, and d(t):= SUD idn(t)| nEN is well-defined L-a.e. Furthermore, if it is defined te(a,b), (f) d(t)= sup liming <u>Idn(t)-dn(s)</u> nelN s=>t() <u>It-s</u> $\leq \lim_{s \to \pm 0} \frac{d(x(t), x(s))}{|t-s|}$ by (** Thus $d(t) \leq q(t)$ I -a.e, so $d \in L^{1}(a,b)$. To obtain an upper bound on limsup, note that, by density of yn,

$$d(k(t), x(s)) = \sup |dn(t) - d(s)|$$

$$= \sup |\int dn(r) dr|$$

$$= \sup \int |dn(r) dr|$$

$$= \sup \int |dn(r) dr|$$

$$= \int d(r) dr$$

Thus, for
$$\mathcal{L}$$
-ae. t .
 $\limsup_{s \to t} \frac{d(x(s), x(t))}{|s-t|} \leq d(t)$.

Therefore (x'(t) exists I-ae.t, and |x'|(t)=d(t).

-O- shows lx'llt) is admissible choice of g in defn of AC. Shows that lx'llt is minimal. Theorem (characterization of abscts curves in We):

(i) Suppose $\mu:[0,T] \rightarrow P_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is als cts. Then $\exists v s.t. (\mu, v)$ is a weak soln of ((E) and $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{$

(ii) Conversely, suppose $\mu: [0,T] \rightarrow O_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\exists (\nabla s.t.)$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}$

Our proof of (i) relies on this Lemma: $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\text{Jemma: Suppose } m^{k}:[0,T] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{d}_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \\ \exists \ \text{Kcc}(\mathbb{R}^{d}\text{s.t.} \ m^{k}_{t}(\mathbb{K}^{c})=0, \ \text{and} \ \exists \ fel^{2}(0,T) \\ \text{s.t.} \ m^{k}_{t}((\mathbb{R}^{d})\in f(t) \ \text{J-a.e.} \ t\in[0,T]. \end{array}$ Then, up to a subsequence, there exists m: [0,T]>Ms(Re) s.t. amily dt > dry(x) dt narrowly wrt $C_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times [0,T]).$ loday, we will show (i). For simplicity, we will assume that $\exists K cc (R^d s.t. \mu_t(K^c) = 0 \forall t, u_t(K^c) =$ For KEIN, consider the "discrete time sequence"

 $\mu(k), \mu(k), \dots, \mu(k), \dots, \mu(k), \dots, \mu(k)$ and the mollified sequence $\mu_{i/k}^{k} = \mathcal{Q}_{i/k} * \mu(i/k)$ By our previous proposition, since these measures are <<fr/>these measures are <<fr/>there exists a unique geodesic u^k(t) from u^k_i_k to uⁱ⁺¹/k. uⁱ(0) u^k(t) uⁱ⁺¹/k. Furthermore (µik, vik) is a solo of ((E) and $\left(S | v_{i}^{k}(x,t) |^{2} d(u_{i}^{k})_{t}(x) \right)^{1/2} = W_{2}(\mu_{i}^{k}(\mu_{i}^{k})_{t})^{1/2} + V_{1}^{2} + V_{2}^{2} + V_{2}^{2}(\mu_{i}^{k}(x,t))^{1/2} + V_{2}^{2}(\mu_{i}^{k}(x,t$ Now, we chain these geodesics together, defining $\mu^{k}(t) := \mu^{k}_{i}(tk-i) \text{ for } t \in [1/k, 1+1/k).$ $\nabla^{k}(t) := \nabla^{k}_{i}(tk-i) \cdot K$

Then
$$(\mu^{k}, \nu^{k})$$
 is a weak soln of
 $\partial \nu^{k} + \nabla \cdot (\mu^{k} \nu^{k}) = 0$
Furthermore, for $t \in [i'_{k}, i^{+}/_{k})$
 $Sl\nu^{k}(x, t)l^{2}d\mu^{k}_{t}(x) = k^{2} W_{2}^{2}(\mu^{k}_{i'_{k}}, \mu^{k}_{i+1'_{k}})$
 $we contracts under mollification$
 $\leq k^{2} W_{2}^{2}(\mu(i'_{k}), \mu(i^{+}/_{k}))$
 $\leq (k \int_{i^{+}/k}^{i^{+}/k} |\mu^{i}| |s|) ds)^{2}$
 $= k \int_{i^{+}/k}^{i^{+}/k} |\mu^{i}| |s| ds$

Define $dm_t(x) := v^*(x,t) d\mu_t^k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_s^d(\mathbb{R}^d).$ $\mathcal{M}_s^k(\mathbb{R}^d) = \int [v^k(x,t)] d\mu_t^k(x)$

$$\leq (\int |v^{k}(x,t)|^{2} d\mu_{t}^{k}(x)|^{1/2}$$

$$\leq K \int |\mu'| |s| ds = f(t)$$

We have,

$$i'''_{k}$$

 j''_{k}
 j''_{k}
 i''_{k}
 i''_{k}



.