

Complex analysis, Fun problems:

Exercise 1. Prove or disprove that there is a sequence of analytic polynomials $\{p_n(z)\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $p_n(z) \rightarrow \bar{z}^4$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for $z \in \partial D(0, 1)$.

Solution: The statement is not true. Suppose that there exists such a sequence of analytic polynomials such that $p_n(z) \rightarrow \bar{z}^4$. Then for all n we have $\frac{d}{dz} p_n(z) = 0$ since $p_n(z)$ is analytic. However $\frac{d}{dz} \bar{z}^4 = 4\bar{z}^3 \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly $0 \not\rightarrow 4\bar{z}^3$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ \square

Exercise 2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open set, and γ be a closed curve in U . Suppose that for any function $f(z)$ holomorphic on U we have

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Does it imply that γ is homotopic to a constant curve?

Exercise 2b. Find an example of a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on a domain U , $\gamma = \partial U$ such that

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Solution: No γ does not have to be a constant curve, consider the function $f(z) = z^{-1}$, on the punctured disk $U = D(2, 1) - \{2\}$. Then $f(z)$ is holomorphic on U , now fix $r \in (0, 1)$ and let $\gamma = re^{it} + 2$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, then by Cauchy's theorem we have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

but $re^{it} + 2$ is clearly not a constant curve. \square

Exercise 3. Let $\frac{1 - e^{2iz}}{2z^2}$, and consider the following contour Γ :

$$\Gamma = \begin{cases} \gamma_1 := t & t \in [-R, -1/R] \\ \gamma_2 := e^{it}/R & t \in [\pi, 2\pi] \\ \gamma_3 := t & t \in [1/R, R] \\ \gamma_4 := Re^{it} & t \in [0, \pi] \end{cases}$$

Compute $\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz$

Solution:

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(f(z)) = 2\pi i \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dz} z^2 f(z) = 2\pi i \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} -ie^{2iz} = 2\pi i(-i) = 2\pi$$

Exercise 4 Evaluate the integral:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Hint: use problem (3)

Solution: The function has a removable singularity at $x = 0$, so consider the following

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - e^{2ix}}{2x^2} \Rightarrow \Re(f(x)) = \frac{1 - \cos(2x)}{2x^2} = \frac{\sin^2(x)}{x^2}$$

Now for the integral around Γ we know it's equal to 2π from part (3).

$$\int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-R}^{-1/R} \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

For the integral on γ_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{(1 - e^{2ie^{it}/R}) ie^{it}/R}{e^{2it}/R^2} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{1 - e^{2ie^{it}/R}}{e^{2it}/R} dt\end{aligned}$$

Now letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using L'Hospitals rule we have

$$\frac{i}{2} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{-e^{2ie^{it}/R} (2ie^{it}/R) (i)}{ie^{it}/R} dt = \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} dt = \pi$$

For the integral on γ_3 we have

$$\int_{\gamma_3} f(z) dz = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/R}^R \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

Now for γ_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma_4} f(z) dz &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1 - Re^{2it}}{R^2 e^{2it}} Rie^{it} dt \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1 - Re^{2it}}{Re^{it}} dt\end{aligned}$$

Putting this all together we have

$$2\pi = \pi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{2it}}{t^2} dt$$

Taking real parts we have

$$\pi = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\sin^2(t)}{t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(t)}{t^2} dt = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(t)}{t^2} dt$$

Hence we have $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2(t)}{t^2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \square$

Exercise 5 Let $f(z)$ be analytic on $\mathbb{C} - \{1\}$ and have a simple pole at $z = 1$ with residue λ . Prove that for every $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R^n \left| (-1)^n \frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} - \lambda \right| = 0$$

Hint: Look at Laurent expansion, and define a suitable entire auxiliary function. What can you say about the Taylor series of this function?

Proof: Since $f(z)$ has simple pole at one we have the Laurent expansion.

$$f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{z-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-1)^n$$

Define $g(z) = f(z) - \frac{\lambda}{z-1}$, then $g(z)$ is an entire function. Now for $|z-2| < 1$ $f(z)$ has the taylor expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} (z-2)^n$$

Also we have the geometric series for $\frac{\lambda}{z-1}$

$$\frac{\lambda}{z-1} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + (z-2)} = \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (z-2)^n$$

This implies that the series for $g(z)$ about 2 is

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} (z-2)^n - \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (z-2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z-2)^n \left(\frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} - \lambda(-1)^n \right)$$

Now for $|z-2| < 1$ we have that $(z-2)^n \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} - \lambda(-1)^n \right| \rightarrow 0$. But since $g(z)$ is entire we this holds for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ Hence for any $R > 0$ we have

$$R^n \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(2)}{n!} - \lambda(-1)^n \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

So the result is shown. \square

Exercise 6 Prove the Lioville's theorem by calculating the following integral

$$\int_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)(z-b)} dz$$

and taking the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution: Suppose that $f(z)$ is a bounded entire function, that is, there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(z)| < M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Fix $R > 0$, now for $a, b \in D(0, R)$ the integral is bounded by;

$$\left| \int_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)(z-b)} dz \right| \leq \frac{2\pi RM}{(R-|a|)(R-|b|)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty$$

Now by direct computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)(z-b)} dz &= 2\pi i (\text{Res } f(a) + \text{Res } f(b)) \\ &= 2\pi i \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f(b) = f(a)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, hence $f(z)$ is constant.

Exercise 7 Show that there is a holomorphic function defined in the set

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 4\}$$

Whose derivative is

$$\frac{z}{(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)}.$$

Hint: Residue theorem

Solution: Let γ be a closed curve lying outside of Ω . Now if there exists such a function $F(z)$, such that

$$F'(z) = f(z) = \frac{z}{(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)}$$

then the following condition should be satisfied

$$\int_{\gamma} F'(z) = 0$$

It suffices to show this is true for $\gamma = re^{it}$, where $r > 0$. Now $F'(z)$ has 3 simple poles $\{1, 2, 3\}$ lying inside of γ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} f(z) &= 2\pi i (\text{Res } f(1) + \text{Res } f(2) + \text{Res } f(3)) \\ &= 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2 + \frac{3}{2} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Morera's there does exists such a function $F(z)$ such that $F'(z) = f(z)$ \square

Exercise 8 Prove or disprove each of the statements:

(a) If f is a function on the unit disk D such that $f^2(z)$ is analytic on D , then f itself is analytic.

Solution: This statement is false. Let $f(z) = \sqrt{z}$, then $f^2(z) = z$ which is holomorphic on $D(0, 1)$, but $f(z)$ is not holomorphic at $z = 0$.

(b) If $f(z)$ is a continuously differentiable function on D , and if $f^2(z)$ is analytic on D , then $f(z)$ itself is analytic.

Proof: Let $f(z) = f(x, y) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ where u, v are harmonic. Then $f^2(z) = u^2(z) - v^2(z) + 2iu(z)v(z)$. Define $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &:= \Re(f^2(z)) = u^2(z) - v^2(z) \\ h(z) &:= \Im(f^2(z)) = 2u(z)v(z) \end{aligned}$$

Now since $f^2(z)$ is holomorphic we know that $f^2(z)$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations, i.e.,

$$\frac{dg}{dx} = \frac{dh}{dy} \quad \frac{dg}{dy} = -\frac{dh}{dx}$$

Computing the above we have

$$\frac{dg}{dx} = 2u \frac{du}{dx} - 2v \frac{dv}{dx} = \frac{dh}{dy} = 2u \frac{dv}{dy} + 2v \frac{du}{dy}$$

this implies that

$$(0.1) \quad u \left(\frac{du}{dx} - \frac{dv}{dy} \right) = v \left(\frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} \right)$$

Computing the other equality we have

$$\frac{dg}{dy} = 2u \frac{du}{dy} - 2v \frac{dv}{dy} = -\frac{dh}{dx} = -2u \frac{dv}{dx} - 2v \frac{du}{dx}$$

which implies that

$$(0.2) \quad u \left(\frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} \right) = v \left(\frac{dv}{dy} - \frac{du}{dx} \right)$$

Solving the above system of equations in (1) and (2) implies that for all $z \in D(0, 1)$ either,

$$u^2 + v^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{du}{dx} = \frac{dv}{dy}, \quad \frac{du}{dy} = -\frac{dv}{dx}$$

The former case implies that $u = v = 0$, which implies $f(z) = 0$ and thus $f(z)$ is analytic in $D(0, 1)$. The latter case implies $f(z)$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations, and thus $f(z)$ is analytic in $D(0, 1)$. Either way $f(z)$ is analytic in $D(0, 1)$. \square