## Solutions 147a Winter 2012 Homework 4

3.2 Assume that  $\gamma$  is paramaterized by arclength, then  $\mathbf{t} = \dot{\gamma}$ ,

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = -\kappa \mathbf{t} + \tau \mathbf{b},$$

and since  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  are constant

$$\ddot{\mathbf{n}} = -(\kappa^2 + \tau^2)\mathbf{n}.$$

This implies that

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{a}_1 \cos(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_2 \sin(\omega s),$$

where  $\omega = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \tau^2}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  are some constant vectors. Since **n** is a unit vector it must be perpendicular to

$$\dot{\mathbf{n}} = -\mathbf{a}_1 \omega \sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_2 \omega \cos(\omega s),$$

but

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{n}} = (\|\mathbf{a}_2\| - \|\mathbf{a}_1\|^2)\omega\cos(\omega s)\sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2\omega(\cos^2(\omega s) - \sin^2(\omega s))$$

which for  $s = \frac{\pi}{4\omega}$  implies that  $\|\mathbf{a}_2\| = \|\mathbf{a}_1\|^2 = 1$ , and for  $s = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$  implies that  $\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 = 0$ . Multiplying **n** by  $\kappa$  and integrating shows that

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{\kappa}{\omega} (\mathbf{a}_1 \sin(\omega s) - \mathbf{a}_2 \cos(\omega s)) + \mathbf{a}_3,$$

where  $\mathbf{a}_3$  is another constant vector, which must be perpendicular to  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  for  $\mathbf{t}$ to be perpendicular to **n**. Rewriting the second Frenet-Serret equation gives

$$-\mathbf{a}_{1}\omega\sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_{2}\omega\cos(\omega s) = \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\omega}(-\mathbf{a}_{1}\sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_{2}\cos(\omega s)) - \kappa\mathbf{a}_{3} + \tau\mathbf{b},$$
  

$$\omega^{2}(-\mathbf{a}_{1}\sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_{2}\cos(\omega s)) = \kappa^{2}(-\mathbf{a}_{1}\sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{a}_{2}\cos(\omega s)) - \kappa\omega\mathbf{a}_{3} + \tau\omega\mathbf{b},$$
  

$$\frac{\omega\tau^{2}}{\kappa}(\mathbf{a}_{3} - \mathbf{t}) = -\kappa\omega\mathbf{a}_{3} + \tau\omega\mathbf{b},$$
  

$$\tau^{2}\mathbf{a}_{3} - \tau^{2}\mathbf{t} = -\kappa^{2}\mathbf{a}_{3} + \tau\kappa\mathbf{b},$$
  

$$\mathbf{a}_{3} = \frac{\tau}{\omega^{2}}(\tau\mathbf{t} + \kappa\mathbf{b}).$$

Finally choosing constant unit vectors  $\mathbf{c}_1 = -\mathbf{a}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_2 = -\mathbf{a}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{c}_3 = \frac{1}{\omega}(\tau \mathbf{t} + \kappa \mathbf{b})$ , the unit tangent can be written as

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{\kappa}{\omega} (-\mathbf{c}_1 \sin(\omega s) + \mathbf{c}_2 \cos(\omega s)) + \frac{\tau}{\omega} \mathbf{c}_3,$$

and integrating gives the curve

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\kappa}{\omega} (\mathbf{c}_1 \cos(\omega s) + \mathbf{c}_2 \sin(\omega s)) + \frac{\tau}{\omega} \mathbf{c}_3 s + \mathbf{d}$$

This shows that  $\gamma$  is a rotation and translation, and possibly a reflection (if  $\mathbf{c}_1 \times \mathbf{c}_2 =$  $-\mathbf{c}_3$ ) of the helix

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\cos(\omega s), \frac{\kappa}{\omega}\sin(\omega s), \frac{\tau}{\omega}s\right).$$

3.4 If  $\gamma$  lies on a sphere centered at **p** with radius r, then  $\|\gamma - \mathbf{p}\|^2 = r^2$ , and taking a derivative yields  $\gamma \cdot \mathbf{t} = 0$ . Thus

$$\gamma(t) - \mathbf{p} = \alpha \mathbf{n} + \beta \mathbf{b},$$

and

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \dot{\alpha}\mathbf{n} + \alpha(-\kappa\mathbf{t} + \tau\mathbf{b}) + \dot{\beta}\mathbf{b} - \beta\tau\mathbf{n},$$
$$= -\alpha\kappa\mathbf{t} + (\dot{\alpha} - \beta\tau)\mathbf{n} + (\alpha\tau + \dot{\beta})\mathbf{b}$$

This shows that  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{\kappa}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\tau} = \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\tau \kappa^2}$ , and  $\dot{\beta} = \frac{\tau}{\kappa}$ . This is the desired equation  $\tau = d (\dot{\kappa})$ 

$$\frac{\tau}{\kappa} = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\tau \kappa^2} \right).$$

To prove the converse, let  $\rho = 1/\kappa$  and  $\sigma = 1/\tau$ , the equation becomes

$$\frac{\rho}{\sigma} = -\frac{d}{ds}(\dot{\rho}\sigma).$$

Multiplying by  $2\dot{\rho}\sigma$  and moving both terms to the left gives

$$2\rho\dot{\rho} + 2\dot{\rho}\sigma\frac{d}{ds}(\dot{\rho}\sigma) = 0.$$

Integrating gives the equation

$$\rho^2 + (\dot{\rho}\sigma)^2 = r^2,$$

for some constant r. Now let  $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}$  be the unit tangent, normal and binormal vectors of  $\gamma$  and consider the spherical curve given by

$$\mu(t) = \rho \mathbf{n} + \dot{\rho} \sigma \mathbf{b}.$$

This has derivative

$$\dot{\mu}(t) = \dot{\rho}\mathbf{n} + \rho(-\kappa\mathbf{t} + \tau\mathbf{b}) - \rho/\sigma\mathbf{b} - \dot{\rho}\sigma\tau\mathbf{n},$$
  
= -\mathbf{t}.

Thus  $\gamma(t) + \mu(t) = \mathbf{p}$  for some constant  $\mathbf{p}$ . Showing that  $\gamma$  is a spherical curve, as it is a translation of a spherical curve.

For Viviani's curve

$$\gamma(t) = \left(\cos^2 t - \frac{1}{2}, \sin t \cos t, \sin t\right),\,$$

the derivatives are

$$\begin{split} \dot{\gamma}(t) &= (-\sin 2t, \cos 2t, \cos t),\\ \ddot{\gamma}(t) &= (-2\cos 2t, -2\sin 2t, -\sin t),\\ \ddot{\gamma}(t) &= (4\sin 2t, -4\cos 2t, -\cos t). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 &= 1 + \cos^2 t\\ \dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t) &= (\sin t (\cos(2t) + 2), -2\cos^3 t, 2),\\ \|\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 &= 5 + 3\cos^2 t,\\ (\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)) \cdot \ddot{\gamma}(t) &= 6\cos t, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= \frac{\sqrt{5+3\cos^2 t}}{(1+\cos^2 t)^{\frac{3}{2}}},\\ \frac{d\kappa}{ds} &= \frac{6\sin t\cos t(2+\cos^2 t)}{\sqrt{5+3\cos^2 t}(1+\cos^2 t)^3},\\ \tau &= \frac{6\cos(t)}{5+3\cos^2 t},\\ \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\tau\kappa^2} &= \frac{\sin t(2+\cos^2 t)}{\sqrt{5+3\cos^2 t}}. \end{split}$$

Finally, taking the derivative yields

$$\frac{d}{ds}\frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\tau\kappa^2} = 6\cos t \left(\frac{1+\cos^2 t}{5+3\cos^2 t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\tau}{\kappa}.$$

3.6 Let  $b_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j$  then these satisfy

$$\dot{b}_{ij} = \sum_{k} (a_{ik} \mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot (a_{jk} \mathbf{v}_k)) = \sum_{k} (a_{ik} b_{kj} + b_{ik} a_{jk})$$

For the matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  this can be written succinctly as

$$\dot{B} = AB + BA^T = AB - BA,$$

while the initially orthonormal condition becomes

$$B(s_0) = I,$$

where I is the identity matrix. Now, B = I is a constant solution to this system of equations. Uniqueness of solutions to systems of ODE's then implies that B(s) = I for all s, thus the vectors remain orthonormal.

In fact, the only initial conditions which will give constant solutions for any skew symmetric matrix are  $B(s_0) = cI$  for a constant c.