

Math 108B - Take-Home Midterm 2 Solutions

1. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. We defined the *dual space* of V as the vector space $V^* = \mathcal{L}(V, F)$ of linear functionals on V . We write V^{**} for the dual space of V^* .

- (a) For $v \in V$, define $\varphi_v : V^* \rightarrow F$ by $\varphi_v(f) = f(v)$ for all $f \in V^*$. Show that φ_v is a linear map. (Thus $\varphi_v \in V^{**}$.)

Solution. If $a \in F$ and $f \in V^*$, we have $\varphi_v(af) = af(v) = a \cdot f(v) = a \cdot \varphi_v(f)$. If $f, g \in V^*$, then $\varphi_v(f + g) = (f + g)(v) = f(v) + g(v) = \varphi_v(f) + \varphi_v(g)$. Hence $\varphi_v : V^* \rightarrow F$ is a linear map.

- (b) Show that the function $T : V \rightarrow V^{**}$, defined by $T(v) = \varphi_v$ for all $v \in V$, is a linear map.

Solution. First let $a \in F$ and $v \in V$. Then $T(av) = \varphi_{av}$, which is defined by $\varphi_{av}(f) = f(av)$ for all $f \in V^*$. Since f is linear, we have $\varphi_{av}(f) = f(av) = a \cdot f(v) = a \cdot \varphi_v(f)$. Thus $T(av) = \varphi_{av} = a\varphi_v = aT(v)$. Now let $u, v \in V$. Then $T(u + v) = \varphi_{u+v}$, which is defined by $\varphi_{u+v}(f) = f(u + v) = f(u) + f(v) = \varphi_u(f) + \varphi_v(f)$ for all $f \in V^*$. Thus $T(u + v) = \varphi_{u+v} = \varphi_u + \varphi_v = T(u) + T(v)$, and we have shown that T is a linear map.

- (c) Show that T , as in (b), is an isomorphism. (Recall that, in class, we've already shown that V and V^{**} are isomorphic, i.e., they have the same dimension.)

Solution. It suffices to show that T is injective, since we already know that V and V^{**} have the same dimension. Thus suppose that $T(v) = \varphi_v = 0$ for some $v \in V$. This means that $\varphi_v(f) = f(v) = 0$ for all $f \in V^*$. However, if $v \neq 0$, we can define a linear functional $f \in V^*$ by completing $\{v\}$ to a basis $\{v, w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ of V and setting $f(v) = 1$ and $f(w_i) = 0$ for all i . Then clearly, $f(v) \neq 0$, which would contradict $\varphi_v = 0$. Hence we must have $v = 0$. This shows that $\text{null}(T) = \{0\}$ and hence T is injective.

2. We say that two inner-product spaces V and W are **isometric** if there exists an invertible isometry $T : V \rightarrow W$. Prove that two finite-dimensional inner-product spaces V and W are isometric if and only if $\dim V = \dim W$. (Hint: this is similar to Theorem 3.18 in LADR.)

Solution. The proof of Theorem 3.18 is based on defining a linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ by $T(v_i) = w_i$ where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ are bases for V and W , and checking that this T is invertible. By our results on isometries, we know that T will be an isometry if and only if T takes an orthonormal basis of V to an orthonormal basis of W . Thus, the only modification we need to make to the proof of Theorem 3.18 is to choose $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ to be orthonormal bases for V and W , which is always possible by Corollary 6.24. Here are all the details:

\Leftarrow : Assume $\dim V = \dim W = n$ and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ to be orthonormal bases for V and W , respectively. Define $T : V \rightarrow W$ to be the unique linear map such that $T(v_i) = w_i$ for all i . So $T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \dots + c_nw_n$ for all $c_i \in F$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.18, we easily see that T is invertible: an inverse $S : W \rightarrow V$ is defined to be the unique linear map such that $S(w_i) = v_i$ for all i . Furthermore, since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis and so is $\{Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n\}$, we know that T is an isometry (this is essentially part (e) of Theorem 7.36 in LADR, and I believe we proved it in class). Therefore, V and W are isometric, by definition.

\Rightarrow : Assume that V and W are isometric. By definition, they are also isomorphic. Hence $\dim V = \dim W$ follows from Theorem 3.18 of LADR.

Note: As a consequence: if $\dim V = n$ then V is isometric to F^n with the dot product.

3. Describe all normal $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} that have only one eigenvalue.

Solution. Suppose A is a normal $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{C} that has only one eigenvalue λ . By the spectral theorem \mathbb{C}^n has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for A . Equivalently, there is an invertible change-of-basis matrix C such that $C^{-1}AC$ is a diagonal matrix. Furthermore, the entries on the diagonal of $C^{-1}AC$ must be the eigenvalues of A , i.e., λ , and thus $C^{-1}AC = \lambda I_n$. Multiplying both sides by C on the left and C^{-1} on the right, we have $A = C(\lambda I)C^{-1} = \lambda CC^{-1} = \lambda I$. Thus all normal $n \times n$ matrices with only one eigenvalue are scalar multiples of the identity matrix (in any basis!). Clearly the converse is also true: any scalar multiple of the identity matrix commutes with all matrices, and is thus normal.

4. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow V$ is normal. Prove that

$$\text{null}(T^k) = \text{null}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{range}(T^k) = \text{range}(T) \quad \text{for all integers } k \geq 1.$$

Solution. Notice first that the set-inclusions $\text{null}(T) \subseteq \text{null}(T^k)$ and $\text{range}(T^k) \subseteq \text{range}(T)$ hold for any T . Thus we only need to show that $\dim \text{null}(T) = \dim \text{null}(T^k)$ and $\dim \text{range}(T) = \dim \text{range}(T^k)$.

First assume that $F = \mathbb{C}$. Thus, by the spectral theorem V has an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of eigenvectors of T . Let $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ be the eigenvalue going with v_i , so that $Tv_i = \lambda_i v_i$ for all i . Then $T^k v_i = \lambda_i^k v_i$, and thus each v_i is also an eigenvector for T^k with eigenvalue λ_i^k . In particular, the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of T equals the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue for T^k (i.e., the number of different i such that $\lambda_i = 0$ is the same as the number of different i such that $\lambda_i^k = 0$). Since $\text{null}(T)$ equals, by definition, the eigenspace of the eigenvalue 0, we see that the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue for T (or for T^k) equals $\dim \text{null}(T)$ (or $\dim \text{null}(T^k)$). We now have $\dim \text{null}(T) = \dim \text{null}(T^k)$, and then by the rank-nullity theorem we have

$$\dim \text{range}(T) = \dim V - \dim \text{null}(T) = \dim V - \dim \text{null}(T^k) = \dim \text{range}(T^k).$$

Now assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V , and let A be the matrix of T in this basis. Since T is normal, A is a normal matrix, meaning that A commutes with its (conjugate) transpose: $AA^t = A^tA$. The trick is to now consider the linear map $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ defined by the matrix A . Since A is the matrix of S in the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n , which is an orthonormal basis with respect to the dot product, and A commutes with its conjugate transpose, we know that S is also a normal operator. Exactly as above, we see that $\dim \text{null}(S) = \dim \text{null}(S^k)$, and both are equal to the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of A (or of A^k). In particular, the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of A equals the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of A^k . Since A and A^k represent T and T^k (and $0 \in \mathbb{R}$), 0 has the same multiplicity as an eigenvalue of both T and T^k . Hence $\dim \text{null}(T) = \dim \text{null}(T^k)$, and the rest follows as in the last sentence of the preceding paragraph and the first paragraph.

(Note: in this part of the proof, it is best to think of eigenvalues of A , and their multiplicities, as corresponding to the roots, with multiplicities, of the characteristic polynomial of A . From this perspective, it is clear that the multiplicity of the real eigenvalue 0 is the same over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .)

Alternate Proof. (without using the spectral theorem)

Claim. If T is normal, then $\text{null}(T) = \text{null}(T^2)$.

Proof of the claim. Obviously, we have $\text{null}(T) \subseteq \text{null}(T^2)$. Thus let $v \in \text{null}(T^2)$. By Proposition 6.46, we know $\text{null}(T) = \text{range}(T^*)^\perp$, and thus $V = \text{null}(T) \oplus \text{range}(T^*)$. We can write $v = u + w$ for unique $u \in \text{null}(T)$ and $w \in \text{range}(T^*)$. Then $T(v) = T(u) + T(w) = T(w)$, so to show $v \in \text{null}(T)$, it suffices to show that $w \in \text{null}(T)$. First note that $T^*T(w) \in \text{null}(T)$ since $T(T^*T(w)) = T(T^*T(v)) = T^*T^2(v) = 0$, where we have used that T is normal. Now, using $\text{null}(T) = \text{range}(T^*)^\perp$, we get $\langle T(w), T(w) \rangle = \langle w, T^*T(w) \rangle = 0$ since $w \in \text{range}(T^*)$ and $T^*T(w) \in \text{null}(T)$. Thus, $T(w) = 0$ as required.

Next, we can show that $\text{null}(T) = \text{null}(T^k)$ for all $k \geq 2$, as in the proof of Proposition 8.5 (I won't repeat the argument here). Finally, we can use the rank-nullity theorem as in the first proof to get $\dim \text{range}(T) = \dim V - \dim \text{null}(T) = \dim V - \dim \text{null}(T^k) = \dim \text{range}(T^k)$, and the equality $\text{range}(T) = \text{range}(T^k)$ then follows from the trivial inclusion $\text{range}(T^k) \subseteq \text{range}(T)$.